APPENDIX D-4 Beneficiary Eligible Mitigation Action Certification

# **BENEFICIARY ELIGIBLE MITIGATION ACTION CERTIFICATION**

Beneficiary The District of Columbia

Lead Agency Authorized to Act on Behalf of the Beneficiary District Department of Energy and Environment (DOEE) (Any authorized person with delegation of such authority to direct the Trustee delivered to the Trustee pursuant to a Delegation of Authority and Certificate of Incumbency)

Action Title:	DC PF-DEAL Vehicle Replacement Program
Beneficiary's Project ID:	DC - VWTPRR
Funding Request No.	(sequential) DC - VWTPRR - 002
Request Type: (select one or more)	Reimbursement   Advance     Other (specify):
Payment to be made to: (select one or more)	<ul> <li>Beneficiary</li> <li>Other (specify):</li></ul>
Funding Request & Direction (Attachment A)	<ul> <li>Attached to this Certification</li> <li>To be Provided Separately</li> </ul>

## **SUMMARY**

 Eligible Mitigation Action
 □ Appendix D-2 item (specify):
 DC PF-DEAL Vehicle Replacement Program

 Action Type
 □ Item 10 - DERA Option (5.2.12) (specify and attach DERA Proposal):

 Explanation of how funding request fits into Beneficiary's Mitigation Plan (5.2.1):

 Pages 11-14 of DC's Beneficiary Mitigation Plan (BMP) details how these expenses fit into the BMP.

Detailed Description of Mitigation Action Item Including Community and Air Quality Benefits (5.2.2):

The PF-DEAL Program provides District government agencies with the means to overcome the high incremental cost of purchasing alternative fuel fleet. Details on this Action are available on pages 13-18 of DC's BMP. Benefits of the DERA-DEAL program are on pages 32 and 33 of DC's BMP (the only difference being that DERA funds are a portion of the costs).

**Estimate of Anticipated NOx Reductions (5.2.3):** 

An estimated reduction of 6.62 tons of NOx will be reduced.

Identification of Governmental Entity Responsible for Reviewing and Auditing Expenditures of Eligible Mitigation Action Funds to Ensure Compliance with Applicable Law (5.2.7.1): The District Department of Energy and the Environment (DOEE).

Describe how the Beneficiary will make documentation publicly available (5.2.7.2).

Page 10 of DC's BMP describes how documentation will be made publicly available.

**Describe any cost share requirement to be placed on each NOx source proposed to be mitigated (5.2.8).** DOEE is subsidizing the cost of purchasing new, electric vehicles. The private entity is responsible for the remaining cost of the bus purchases.

Describe how the Beneficiary complied with subparagraph 4.2.8, related to notice to U.S. Government Agencies (5.2.9).

Letters to the appropriate parties were sent over email on Monday, February 12, 2018.

If applicable, describe how the mitigation action will mitigate the impacts of NOx emissions on communities that have historically borne a disproportionate share of the adverse impacts of such emissions (5.2.10).

Please refer to page 33 of DC's BMP for how this project relates to communities that have historically borne a disproportionate share of the adverse impacts of emissions.

# <u>ATTACHMENTS</u> (CHECK BOX IF ATTACHED)

$\checkmark$	Attachment A	Funding Request and Direction.
7	Attachment B	Eligible Mitigation Action Management Plan Including Detailed Budget and Implementation and Expenditures Timeline (5.2.4).
7	Attachment C	Detailed Plan for Reporting on Eligible Mitigation Action Implementation (5.2.11).
	Attachment D	Detailed cost estimates from selected or potential vendors for each proposed expenditure exceeding \$25,000 (5.2.6). [Attach only if project involves vendor expenditures exceeding \$25,000.]
√	Attachment E	DERA Option (5.2.12). [Attach only if using DERA option.]
	Attachment F	Attachment specifying amount of requested funding to be debited against each beneficiary's allocation (5.2.13). [Attach only if this is a joint application involving multiple beneficiaries.]

# **CERTIFICATIONS**

By submitting this application, the Lead Agency makes the following certifications:

1. This application is submitted on behalf of Beneficiary <u>The District of Columbia</u>, and the person executing this certification has authority to make this certification on behalf of the Lead Agency and Beneficiary, pursuant to the Certification for Beneficiary Status filed with the Court.

- 2. Beneficiary requests and directs that the Trustee make the payments described in this application and Attachment A to this Form.
- 3. This application contains all information and certifications required by Paragraph 5.2 of the Trust Agreement, and the Trustee may rely on this application, Attachment A, and related certifications in making disbursements of trust funds for the aforementioned Project ID.
- 4. Any vendors were or will be selected in accordance with a jurisdiction's public contracting law as applicable. (5.2.5)
- 5. Beneficiary will maintain and make publicly available all documentation submitted in

support of this funding request and all records supporting all expenditures of eligible mitigation action funds subject to applicable laws governing the publication of confidential business information and personally identifiable information. (5.2.7.2)

**DATED:** 

July 13, 2023

Digitally signed by Joseph Jakuta DN: cn=Joseph Jakuta, o=DOEE, ou=AQD, email=joseph.jakuta@dc. gov, c=US Date: 2023.07.13 14:58:16 -04'00'

Joseph Jakuta, Chief, Air Quality Planning Branch

[NAME] [TITLE]

Department of Energy and Environment

[LEAD AGENCY]

for

The District of Columbia

# THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA'S SPENDING PLAN FOR VOLKSWAGEN SETTLEMENT FUNDS (BENEFICIARY MITIGATION PLAN)

July 6, 2018 March 19, 2021 Updated July 13, 2023





#### 1. Introduction

Washington, DC (District, or DC) will receive \$8.125 million as a result of the civil enforcement case, *Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Marketing, Sales, Practices, and Products Liability Litigation.* The settlement stems from Volkswagen's (VW) use of a defeat device in its diesel vehicles, which allowed the vehicles to emit much higher levels of oxides of nitrogen (NOx) than allowed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The settlement funds are primarily intended to reduce NOx emissions from diesel vehicles.

To use the VW settlement funds, the District must develop a beneficiary mitigation plan that describes how the District intends to use its allotted funds to offset the air quality impacts, primarily NOx pollution, that occurred due to the defeat devices on VW vehicles. The funds can only be utilized on eligible mitigation actions as defined in the VW settlement, specifically Appendix D-2.<sup>1</sup> Examples of eligible uses of the funds include replacement of older model heavy duty diesel vehicles; replacement of the engines of older model heavy duty diesel vehicles; installation of electric vehicle infrastructure; and installation of idle reduction technologies. The following are examples of *ineligible* uses of the VW funds: replacement of gasoline powered vehicles; installation of compressed natural gas fueling infrastructure; and expansion of an existing vehicle fleet.

Mayor Bowser selected the District's Department of Energy and Environment (DOEE) to serve as the lead agency to coordinate the use of the District's VW settlement funds. This document constitutes the District's Spending Plan for Volkswagen Settlement Funds ("Spending Plan" or "Beneficiary Mitigation Plan").

## 2. Air Pollution Emissions in Washington, DC

The principal air pollutants of concern in the District are NOx, fine particles (PM2.5), ozone, greenhouse gases (GHG), and air toxics. Although the VW settlement is primarily focused on reducing NOx emissions, the District has also decided to consider reduction of PM2.5, GHGs, and air toxics in developing this spending plan. Air pollutants contribute to various health ailments and threats to the environment, described in more detail below.<sup>2</sup>

Air pollutants in Washington, DC originate from a variety of sources. The total inventory of emissions of an air pollutant is typically subdivided into four types of sources: area, off-road, on-road, and point. Area sources include small, disperse sources such as small boilers and emergency generators. Off-road sources use both gasoline and diesel and include construction and lawn/garden equipment, portable generators, locomotives, and marine engines. On-road

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Appendix D-2 of the VW settlement gives details of eligible mitigation actions and can be found at: <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-10/documents/statebeneficiaries.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All data in this section other than the GHG data is sourced from EPA's National Emissions Inventory database. GHG data is sourced from DOEE's forthcoming Greenhouse Gas Inventory.

sources use both gasoline and diesel, and include any highway vehicles. Stationary industrial sources, also known as point sources, are larger sources that emit pollution from a single location, such as industrial facilities and power plants.

Past research has shown higher levels of some air pollutants near heavily traveled roads and highways, leading to increased levels of lung and heart diseases, particularly in children and adolescents. Tailpipe standards have become more stringent and the levels of air pollutants from vehicles are decreasing. The near-road monitor in the District shows levels of nitrogen dioxide (NO2), PM2.5, and carbon monoxide only slightly above the levels at other District monitors (air toxics are not measured at the near-road site).

**NOx:** One component of NOx is NO2. Breathing air with high levels of NO2 can irritate airways. Such exposures over short periods can aggravate respiratory diseases, particularly asthma, leading to respiratory symptoms (such as coughing, wheezing, and difficulty breathing). Longer exposures to high levels of NO2 may contribute to the development of asthma and potentially increase susceptibility to respiratory infections. NOx emissions also lead to higher ozone levels. Breathing ozone can trigger chest pain, coughing, throat irritation, and airway inflammation. It can also harm lung tissue and reduce lung function. Ozone can worsen bronchitis, emphysema, and asthma.

The total amount of NOx emitted in Washington, DC in 2014, the most recent year for which comprehensive data is available, was 8,606 tons. On-road and off-road vehicles together were the largest source of NOx emissions, emitting 6,518 tons or 76 percent of total NOx emissions in 2014.

NOx emissions from diesel vehicles totaled 3,909 tons, which accounted for 60 percent of the NOx emissions from all vehicles. Gasoline vehicles accounted for the remaining 2,609 tons of vehicle NOx emissions in 2014. Figure 1 represents the distribution of NOx emissions between different types of **diesel** vehicles in 2014.



Figure 1: 2014 NOx Emissions from Diesel Vehicles in Washington, DC in tons (Total: 3,909 tons/year)

**PM2.5:** Particulate matter is solid and liquid particles suspended in air, such as dust, soot, pollen, and smoke; it results from human activity but also occurs naturally. Most particles form in the atmosphere as a result of complex reactions of chemicals such as sulfur dioxide and NOx, which are pollutants emitted from power plants, industrial processes, and on-road and off-road vehicles. Diesel exhaust is mostly composed of particulate matter.

The smaller the particle, the more dangerous for human health, as particles can travel deep into the respiratory system and bloodstream. Repeated inhalation of these particles can lead to serious lung and heart health problems. These small particles are referred to as PM2.5.

In 2014, emissions of PM2.5 from all sources in Washington, DC totaled 1,219 tons. Of that, 383 tons were from all types of on-road and off-road vehicles. Diesel vehicles (on-road and off-road) accounted for 226 tons of PM2.5 emissions, with gasoline and other alternatively fueled vehicles accounting for the remaining 157 tons.

**GHGs:** Gases that trap heat in the atmosphere are called greenhouse gases (GHGs) and include carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide (N2O), and fluorinated gases. GHGs contribute to

climate change, which is predicted to cause extreme heat waves, rising sea levels, changes in precipitation resulting in flooding and droughts, intense hurricanes, and degraded air quality, all of which can directly and indirectly affect the physical, social, and psychological health of humans. The District is doing its best to reduce the impact of climate change in keeping with Mayor Bowser's pledge to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 100 percent by 2050. Note that N2O is also one of the compounds that make up NOx.

The latest GHG emission inventory from 2015 estimated a total of 8,844,152 tons of GHG emissions in the District. Diesel vehicle (on-road and off-road) emissions accounted for 126,085 tons or 1.4 percent of the total of GHG emissions in the District.

**Air Toxics:** Air toxics, also known as toxic air pollutants or hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), are those pollutants that cause or may cause cancer and other serious health effects, such as reproductive effects or birth defects. The Clean Air Act identifies 187 HAPs that EPA and states are required to control to protect public health. Although Washington, DC does not have high levels of air toxics, they are emitted from diesel engines. In 2014, diesel vehicles accounted for 117 tons out of the total 815 tons (14 percent) of HAPs emissions in the District.

# 3. Impacted Communities in the District

The VW settlement requires each beneficiary, including the District, to describe how our proposed projects will benefit areas of the city that bear a disproportionate share of the air pollution burden. To identify the areas of the city that are the most vulnerable and impacted by air pollution, we have looked at the following indicators: asthma rates in the District, mortality rates from cancer and heart disease, and underrepresented neighborhoods as defined by income levels.

**Asthma Rates in Washington, DC:** The current citywide rate of asthma among adults is 11.5%.<sup>3</sup> Asthma rates in Washington, DC reflect national trends,<sup>4</sup> with African American adults, adults with lower incomes, and adults who did not finish high school disproportionately impacted. African American adults in Washington, DC had twice the rate of asthma (15.4%) than Caucasian adults (7.6%). Asthma was also more prevalent among District adults with annual incomes below \$15,000 (25.7%) and among those with less than a high school education (20.9%).<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Government of the District of Columbia Department of Health (DOH), *Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) Annual Health Report, 2014*, District of Columbia: DOH, 39, https://doh.dc.gov/node/1190347 (accessed November 20, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). *Asthma Facts—CDC's National Asthma Control Program Grantees*. Atlanta, GA: HHS, CDC, 2013, https://www.cdc.gov/asthma/pdfs/asthma\_facts\_program\_grantees.pdf (accessed November 20, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Government of the District of Columbia Department of Health, *Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System* (*BRFSS*) Annual Health Report, 2014, 39.

Geographic disparities in asthma prevalence are also evident in Washington, DC. Current asthma rates among District adults are highest in Ward 8 (17.6%) and Ward 7 (16.9%), followed by Ward 5 (14%) (see Figure 2).



Figure 2: Current Asthma Rates Among District Adults, Ranked by Ward, BRFSS, 2014<sup>6</sup>.

Current asthma rates are higher among children in Washington, DC than among children nationwide. An estimated 15.5% of District children 0–17 years of age currently have asthma, compared with 8.8% of children in the same age range nationwide.<sup>7</sup> Similarly, while 22.8% of high school students nationwide have ever been told by a doctor or nurse that they had asthma; District students in middle and high school have a lifetime prevalence of asthma of 25.9% and 30.8%, respectively.<sup>8</sup>

A higher burden of asthma is also borne by youth of color in Washington, DC. African American students in the District's middle and high schools have much higher lifetime asthma rates (at 28.8% and 32.6%, respectively) than their Caucasian counterparts (at 14.0% and 19.0%, respectively).<sup>9</sup> Lifetime asthma rates are also higher among middle and high school students classified as Hispanic, Other, or Multiple Races, ranging from 21.3% to 35.6%.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> National Survey of Children's Health. NSCH 2011/12. Data query from the Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative, Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health website. Retrieved November 20, 2017 from http://www.childhealthdata.org/browse/survey/results?q=2400&r=1&r2=10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Sowole-West, Omotunde and Scholl, Kelley (2016) *2015 District of Columbia Youth Risk Behavior Survey Surveillance Report*. Office of the State Superintendent of Education: Washington, DC, 56 and 68, https://osse.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/osse/publication/attachments/2015%20YRBS%20Report.pdf

<sup>(</sup>Accessed November 20, 2017).

<sup>9</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Ibid.

Figure 3 represents the asthma-related emergency room visits for residents of all ages, by Ward.<sup>11</sup> According to the DC Hospital Association, the highest rates of asthma-related emergency room visits are in Ward 8, 7, and 5.



Figure 3: Emergency Room Visit Rate for Asthma by Ward, 2010-2014.

**Mortality Rates from Cancer and Heart Disease:** There is evidence that exposure to NO2 from vehicle emissions is associated with lung cancer.<sup>12</sup> Scientific studies have linked particle pollution exposure to various health impacts, including premature death in people with heart or lung disease.<sup>13</sup> See map in Appendix 3 for roadways with high traffic volumes in Washington, DC.

In Washington, DC, there are geographic disparities in the mortality rates from cancer and heart disease. Current mortality rates from cancer and heart disease among District residents are highest in Ward 8 and Ward 7, followed by Ward 5 (see Table 1).

http://www.dchealthmatters.org/content/sites/washingtondc/2016 DC CHNA 062416 FINAL.pdf (Accessed on November 20, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> District of Columbia Community Health Needs Assessment, June 2016, By Chaya Merrill, DrPH; Linda Cottrell, MPH; and, Kimberle Searcy, MPH,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Lung Cancer and Exposure to Nitrogen Dioxide and Traffic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, November 2015, By Ghassan Hamra, Francine Laden, Aaron Cohen, Ole Raaschou-Nielsen, Michael Brauer, and Dana Loomis, <u>https://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/1408882/</u> (Accessed April 23, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Health and Environmental Effects of Particulate Matter, US Environmental Protection Agency, <u>https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/health-and-environmental-effects-particulate-matter-pm</u> (Accessed April 23, 2018).

Table	1:	Mortality	Rate	per	Ward <sup>14</sup>
-------	----	-----------	------	-----	--------------------

Ward	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Cancer	171.9	88.7	115.1	160.4	220.1	185.9	233.1	323.8
Heart								
Disease	204.3	127.6	98 5	188.2	267.5	224.1	309.4	370

Disease204.3127.698.5188.2267.5224.1309.4370Note: The mortality rate is stated as the age adjusted rate per 100,000. A higher number indicates a higher mortality rate.

**Underrepresented Neighborhoods:** According to 2016 Census estimates, the overall racial/ethnic makeup of Washington, DC residents is 47.7% African American, 36.4% Caucasian, 10.9% Hispanic/Latino, and 4.1% Asian.<sup>15</sup> The District's Office of Planning reports that 25.9% of the District's African American residents, or nearly 80,000 individuals, were living in poverty in 2014.<sup>16</sup> Roughly 47% of the District's African American population lives east of the Anacostia River, specifically in Wards 7 and 8, where the median income is less than half of the District's \$75,000 median income.<sup>17</sup>

Geographically, the highest poverty rates in the city are in Wards 7 and 8, where more than 90% of the residents in both wards are African American. The percentage of families living below the poverty level in Wards 7 (25%) and 8 (29%) is significantly higher than the citywide average of 18.5%, and about 15 times higher than in Ward 3 (2%).<sup>18</sup> Rates of childhood poverty are also higher than average in these communities, at 40% in Ward 7 and 50% in Ward 8 (Table 2).<sup>19</sup>

Table 2: District	t Children < 5	Years, by	Population,	Race/Ethnicity,	and Poverty,	by Ward
-------------------	----------------	-----------	-------------	-----------------	--------------	---------

Ward	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Total Population	4,723	2,174	4,241	5,565	5,743	4,804	5,204	7,870
% Hispanic/Latino	39%	17%	16%	31%	19%	11%	5%	3%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> District of Columbia Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2014, By Department of Health <u>https://dchealth.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/doh/publication/attachments/BRFSS\_Annual\_Report\_2014.pdf</u> (Accessed on April 19, 2018).

<sup>18</sup>District of Columbia Community Health Needs Assessment, June 2016, By Chaya Merrill, DrPH; Linda Cottrell, MPH; and, Kimberle Searcy, MPH,

http://www.dchealthmatters.org/content/sites/washingtondc/2016\_DC\_CHNA\_062416\_FINAL.pdf (Accessed on November 20, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> U.S. Bureau of the Census. QuickFacts: District of Columbia, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, July 1, 2006, <u>https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/DC</u>, <u>https://www.washingtonian.com/2016/09/21/the-dc-area-has-the-highest-median-income-in-the-us-again/ ; https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/dc-losing-black-residents-west-of-the-anacostia-census-data-show/2016/09/30/b74279e8-8725-11e6-92c2-14b64f3d453f\_story.html?utm\_term=.fafeef883a7c (Accessed on November 20, 2017).</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>https://planning.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/op/page\_content/attachments/Poverty%20in%20DC%202014\_1.

pdf (Accessed on November 20, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> DC Fiscal Policy Institute. DC's Black Residents Increasingly Live East of the Anacostia River, September 28, 2016, By Claire Zippel, <u>https://www.dcfpi.org/all/dcs-black-residents-increasingly-live-east-of-the-anacostia-river/</u> (Accessed on January 3, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> https://planning.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/op/page\_content/attachments/Key%20Indicators%202011-2015\_0.pdf .

% African American	28%	4%	4%	46%	63%	29%	94%	91%
Child Poverty <sup>20</sup>	24%	6%	3%	16%	21%	17%	40%	50%

**Priority Neighborhoods for VW Funds:** Based on the review of asthma rates, mortality rates from cancer and heart disease, and income levels in Washington, DC, DOEE determined that Wards 7, 8, and 5 demonstrate the highest need. Accordingly, DOEE is prioritizing projects in Ward 7, Ward 8, and Ward 5 within the VW Spending Plan. Ward 7 and Ward 8 will receive a higher level of priority in the VW Spending Plan than Ward 5 due to exhibiting a higher level of need. Due to the types of projects that are eligible for VW funds and due to the mobile nature of vehicles, DOEE elected not to prioritize particular communities (Census tracts) within Wards.

#### 4. Public Engagement

In June 2017, DOEE issued a Request for Information (RFI) to solicit public input on the potential uses of the District's VW settlement funds. DOEE received 16 responses to the RFI. Summaries of the responses are posted on the District's VW settlement webpage, https://doee.dc.gov/node/1257131.

DOEE also invited the public to complete a short survey on how the District should spend the VW settlement funds. DOEE received feedback from 170 residents across all eight Wards. Figures 4 and 5 illustrate the survey responses. A fuller summary of the survey responses is posted on the District's VW settlement webpage, <u>https://doee.dc.gov/node/1257131</u>.



Figure 4: VW Proposed Projects Survey Results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Percent of children under 18 years living below 100 percent of the federal poverty level.

Source: The Annie E. Casey Foundation, KIDS COUNT Data Center, http://datacenter.kidscount.org.

Accessed April 17, 2017. From U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Decennial Census, 2011-2014 ACS 5-Year Estimates.



Figure 5: VW Project Selection Criteria in the Survey Results.

On July 13, 2017, DOEE held a Public Information Open House in Ward 8 on the VW settlement funds. Approximately 25 members of the public participated and talked with DOEE staff about the settlement.

DOEE staff also met with interested entities and individual stakeholders upon request and held discussions with other District Government agencies to gather their input.

While DOEE received comments on a wide variety of topics, several themes emerged:

- **Public transportation, specifically zero-emission buses.** The survey allowed respondents to rank their top three favorite project ideas, with 48 percent favoring transit buses (WMATA and Circulator). Additionally, 717 residents signed a Sierra Club petition requesting the Mayor to convert the Circulator bus fleet from diesel to electric using VW settlement funds.
- Trash trucks. 24 percent of survey respondents favored lower-emitting trash trucks.
- Reduce related pollutants (which include greenhouse gases, PM2.5, and air toxics) in addition to reducing NOx. The survey allowed respondents to rank their top three (3) factors to consider in project selection, with 33 percent of survey voters favoring health benefits.
- **Prioritize vulnerable communities/environmental justice.** 27 percent of survey respondents and two (2) RFI commenters supported this goal.
- **Cost-effectiveness is an important but not deciding factor.** 19 percent of survey respondents and five (5) RFI commenters supported this goal.

On January 26, 2018, DOEE solicited input on a Draft Spending Plan from all interested parties. The public comment period closed on March 12, 2018. DOEE received 16 written comments from trade associations, vehicle and equipment manufacturers, businesses, consultants, members of the public, a District government agency, and an environmental organization. Summaries of the responses are posted on the District's VW settlement webpage, https://doee.dc.gov/page/volkswagen-settlement.

On February 21, 2018, DOEE held a Public Information Open House in Ward 7 on the VW settlement funds. Approximately 5 members of the public participated and talked with DOEE staff about the settlement. Open House participants also had the opportunity to provide written comments on the Draft Spending Plan.

Some of the suggestions DOEE received during the public engagement process, while excellent, did not fit the legal parameters of eligible projects for funding through the VW settlement. The VW settlement funds are primarily geared towards equipment-based solutions related to repowering or replacing of older diesel vehicles.

During the implementation of the District's Spending Plan, DOEE will use its VW settlement webpage (<u>https://doee.dc.gov/page/volkswagen-settlement</u>) to house and publish District of Columbia-specific documents related to the VW settlement. This webpage will be used to publish all documents, information, and updates related to the VW Settlement, including documents to be submitted in support of each funding request and information on expenditures from the settlement.

## 5. Goals of the Beneficiary Mitigation Plan

The District selected and will implement mitigation actions that will achieve the following overarching goals:

- **Health:** Positively impact the health of Washington, DC residents by reducing emissions from diesel engines, such as NOx and PM2.5 emissions that contribute to lung and heart problems; GHG emissions that will increase temperature, flooding, and disease; and air toxics that contribute to cancer and birth defects.
- Environmental Justice (EJ): To ensure that all communities receive the same degree of protection from environmental and health hazards, prioritize VW funds to address air pollution in wards with the most vulnerable and impacted populations, including underrepresented communities, communities with high cancer and heart disease mortality rates, and neighborhoods with high asthma rates.
- **Zero-Emissions and Alternative Fuels:** Prioritize funds to drive the greatest possible reduction in emissions by catalyzing the adoption of zero-emission and alternative fuel vehicles.

## 6. Methodology for Project Selection

In evaluating potential projects to implement, we asked the following questions:

- i. Is it an eligible project per the VW settlement?<sup>21</sup>
- ii. How much will it reduce NOx emissions?
- iii. What other pollutants will be reduced and by how much?
- iv. What is the cost-effectiveness of the project? (dollars per ton of pollutant reduction)
- v. Can external funds be used to add to the VW settlement funds for the project?
- vi. Will the project provide a direct health benefit to vulnerable and impacted populations? For example, will the replacement vehicles be physically routed in areas of the city that have historically borne a disproportionate high share of air pollution?
- vii. Will the overall mix of projects cost-effectively contribute to NOx emission reductions while also helping to catalyze the adoption of zero emission and alternative fuel vehicles in Washington, DC?

## 7. Spending Plan

The District plans to spend the \$8.125 million of VW settlement funds in three project areas: locomotive switcher engine replacement; incentives for replacement of diesel transit buses and trash trucks; and rebates for tailpipe pollution reduction retrofits. DOEE will also use a portion of the VW funds for project administration. Each project is described in detail in this section. Table 3 provides a breakdown of the funds allocated for each project.

DOEE plans to leverage the VW funds as a match to the Diesel Emissions Reduction Act (DERA) grant. The DERA option provides additional funding for certain VW mitigation actions through a yearly grant provided by EPA to invest in cleaner vehicular technology and ultimately reduce emissions from diesel vehicles. In addition to the base amount of the DERA grant funds obtained, EPA will provide additional bonus funding equal to 50 percent of the base grant amount. For example, the District is expected to receive a DERA grant for \$411,000 (base amount \$274,000 plus \$137,000 bonus), which will be available for use during Fiscal Year (FY) 2019. As the DERA grant is not funded consistently year-to-year, DERA grant funds are not included in Table 3 beyond FY 2024. As noted in Table 3, the DERA grant will supplement VW funds for three specific eligible actions in the District's Spending Plan- 1) Locomotive Switcher Engine Replacements 2) DERA-DEAL Vehicle Replacements, and 3) Private Fleet DEAL (PF-DEAL) Vehicle Replacements.

Table 3 shows the timeframe in which DOEE plans to spend the funds. The timeframe for spending is subject to change based on the demand for each project; if demand for a project is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> <u>https://www.vwcourtsettlement.com/wp-content/uploads/documents/DOJ/Approved%20Appendix%20D-2.pdf</u>

low, DOEE may reallocate the remaining funds to other projects in the Spending Plan. Table 3 does not include investment income (interest accrued) from the VW funds; to the extent that interest is accrued, DOEE may allocate the accrued interest towards any of the projects in the Spending Plan or to project administration as needed.

Also, as new zero-emission and alternative fuel vehicles become available, DOEE will evaluate them for inclusion in the Spending Plan. To the extent that DOEE amends components of the Spending Plan projects in the future, updated project descriptions will be available on the DOEE VW settlement webpage, <u>https://doee.dc.gov/page/volkswagen-settlement</u>.

Project	VW Settlement Fund Contribution Project							DERA Federal Grant Contribution			
	FY 2019-2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	VW Contributio n	% of VW Fund	FY2023	FY2024	FY 2025 -FY 2027	
Locomotive Switcher Engine Replacement (VW+DERA)		\$416,000	\$208,000	\$520,000	\$520,000	\$1,664,000	21%	\$624,000	\$312,000	Unknown	\$2,600,000
District Electrification And Low-NOx Program (DEAL)	\$5,031,000					\$5,031,000	62%				\$5,031,000
VW DEAL- DERA (VW+DERA)		\$309,000				\$309,000	4%	\$411,080		Unknown	\$720,080
VW PFDEAL (VW+DERA)		\$699,542				\$699,542	9%	\$1,034,850			\$1,734,392
DOEE Administrative Costs	\$254,324	\$5,894	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$56,240	\$396,458	5%				\$396,458
Total	\$5,285,324	\$1,430,436	\$248,000	\$560,000	\$576,240	\$8,100,000	100 %	\$2,069,930	\$312,000	Unknown	\$10,481,930

Table 3: The District's Spending Plan for VW Settlement Funds

**Locomotive Switcher Engine Replacement:** DOEE plans to allocate 24 percent of the District's VW settlement funds (approximately \$2 million) to upgrade and repower five (5) old diesel-powered switcher locomotives at Union Station with new, much cleaner diesel engines (or electric engines if the technology becomes viable) over five years.

Switcher locomotives are engines that switch railcars or assemble trains in the railyard. The switcher locomotives at Union Station are high polluting sources because they operate every day (more than 8,000 hours per year); operate under high load conditions; are powered by diesel engines; and they are old – some switchers are over 50 years old. The switcher locomotives operate solely in the railyards that run between Ivy City and Union Station in Wards 5 and 6, respectively, which creates a hotspot for NOx and other pollutant emissions in this area. This investment is a very cost-effective way to reduce NOx emissions; this project alone will lead to approximately 1 percent reduction of total NOx emissions in Washington, DC. Under the terms

and conditions of the VW settlement, to be eligible for this project the switcher locomotive must currently operate at least 1,000 or more hours per year.

Currently, a railyard in California is field testing battery powered all-electric switcher locomotives. As the technology advances and matures in the coming years, DOEE may reevaluate this project and consider exploring battery powered switchers instead of rebuilding diesel powered switcher locomotives.

This project will be funded jointly by VW funds and the DERA grant for up to 40 percent (approximately \$2.6 million) of the total cost estimated at \$6.5 million for the five switcher locomotives. Amtrak must contribute the remaining 60 percent (approximately \$3.9 million) of the cost for this project. As noted in Table 3, a contribution of \$717,600 (\$358,800 for both FY 2021 and FY 2022) is expected from the DERA grant for this project. DERA grant funds, if available in future years, will reduce the VW settlement fund's contribution towards the locomotive switcher engine replacements. Whenever the DERA grant becomes available in a future year, the unspent VW funds allocated for this project will be moved to the other projects described below.

**The District Electrification And Low-NOx Program (DEAL Program):** The DEAL Program, to be managed by DOEE, will provide District government agencies with the means to overcome the high incremental cost of purchasing alternative fuel fleet. DOEE will allocate 62 percent of VW settlement funds (approximately \$5.03 million) to the DEAL Program starting in FY 2019. Any DEAL Program funds remaining available at the end of FY 2019 will be carried over to subsequent years until all funds are spent. DOEE may increase the funding amount allocated for the DEAL Program in future years if funds allocated to other projects become available. Conversely, DOEE may reduce the funding amount allocated for the DEAL program if there is insufficient demand and move those VW funds to other projects.

At this time, the DEAL Program covers the following technologies: electric transit buses and infrastructure, electric refuse trucks and infrastructure, and CNG refuse trucks. The funds will cover approximately 80 percent of the incremental cost of purchasing electric vehicle technologies, and 55 percent of the incremental cost of purchasing CNG technologies, when compared with the cost of purchasing a new diesel vehicle.<sup>22</sup> Although the DEAL Program will not cover 100 percent of the incremental cost, savings made through fuel and maintenance will help cover the remaining costs and provide overall long term savings during the life of the new vehicle. As new zero emission and alternative fuel vehicles become available, DOEE will evaluate and consider them for inclusion in the Spending Plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Please refer to Table A6 in the Appendix for cost comparison between electric, CNG, and new diesel refuse truck and transit bus replacements.

The DEAL Program is modeled on successful vehicle voucher and rebate programs implemented in other jurisdictions,<sup>23</sup> which capped incentives at 80 percent of the incremental cost or up to a designated amount, usually no greater than \$150,000. These programs have demonstrated that covering a portion of the incremental cost of the new vehicle provides the vehicle owner with a significant financial savings over the lifetime of the vehicle. The DEAL Program approach is supported by the District's climate and energy action plan, Clean Energy DC, which states that zero emission buses have a significant role to play in reducing transit GHG emissions. Clean Energy DC recommends that the District pursue funding options to subsidize the purchase of electric transit vehicles and electric charging infrastructure.<sup>24</sup>

#### Service Conditions and Vehicle Funding for the DEAL Program

District government agencies interested in participating in the DEAL Program will be responsible for meeting the following service conditions:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> including California, Oregon, New York, Maryland, and Chicago

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> DOEE forthcoming publication of final Clean Energy DC Plan; draft available at: <u>https://doee.dc.gov/publication/cleanenergydc</u>.

# **Electric transit buses:**

Service Conditions	Funding Amount per Vehicle
Requirements:	\$155,000
<ul> <li>In order to be eligible for funds, agencies must prove that the vehicle and infrastructure will serve Wards 5, 7, and/or 8 for at least 60 percent of the service time or 60 percent of stops over a 6-year period.</li> <li>The vehicle will have signage displaying the health benefits of the vehicle</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Agencies receiving funds must provide at least 6 asthma outreach/educational events over a 6-year period, irrespective of the number of vehicles purchased.</li> </ul>	
Bonus: If the vehicle and infrastructure serves Wards 7 and/or 8 for at least 60 percent of the service time or 60 percent of stops over a 6 year period, the vehicle will be eligible for additional funding.	\$270,000
Total available if all service conditions are met:	\$425,000

# Electric and CNG refuse trucks:

Service Conditions	Funding Amount per	Funding Amount
	Vehicle for Electric	per Vehicle for
	Refuse Trucks	CNG Refuse Trucks
Requirements:	\$240,000	\$50,000
• The vehicle and infrastructure		
purchased with these funds must be		
used for routes in Wards 7 and 8, for a		
period of at least 6 years. The vehicle		
can also be used for routes outside		
Wards 7 and 8.		
• The vehicle will have signage		
displaying the health benefits of the		
vehicle.		
• Agencies receiving funds must provide		
at least 6 asthma outreach/educational		
events over a 6-year period,		
irrespective of the number of vehicles		
purchased.		
Total available if all service conditions	\$240,000	\$50,000
are met:		

#### **DEAL Program Framework**

District government agencies will be allowed to plan for their fleet replacement in future years by "reserving" a portion of the allotment on a "first come, first served" basis. However, the amount reserved must be used within two years or the funds will be reallocated to the next request in line.

DOEE can advance DEAL project funds to District government agencies that are within the District government's financial system; District government agencies that are outside the District government's financial system will receive a reimbursement after purchase has been completed. DOEE will establish agreements with each agency that will delineate the timing and the process for the funds transfer and reporting requirements. As a condition of receiving DEAL Program funds, the vehicle to be replaced must have been used for at least 1,000 hours annually and agencies will be required to supply proof for the scrapped vehicle they are replacing.

## **DEAL-DERA Vehicle Replacements:**

The District will also utilize Eligible Mitigation Action #10 as outlined in Appendix D-2 of the Consent Decree<sup>25</sup> to assist with the transition of District fleets from older diesel vehicles to cleaner technologies. Approximately 6% of VW funds will be set aside for this endeavor, which leverages DERA funds along with fleet-owner contributions. This option will operate similarly to the DEAL option, however, under the DERA-DEAL option, on-road vehicles eligible under DERA and all DERA requirements will supersede requirements under the original DEAL program to include vehicle mileage, vehicle weight and class, applicable competitive procurement, replacement and scrapped vehicle plans, and reporting requirements, among others.<sup>26</sup>

By requiring new vehicle purchases, we will enhance vehicle safety and invest trust funds in projects with longer service lives compared to vehicle repowers. By leveraging DERA funds, the District is able to enhance the total amount of funding available for previously considered vehicles, like electric refuse trucks, while also extending the program to vehicles that may not have been originally included in the BMP (like medium-duty trucks). Currently, DERA requires a match of 75% for the new hybrid vehicle and 55% for a new electric vehicle from the participating party or vehicle owner/operator, though the DERA program may be updated by the granting authority (the Environmental Protection Agency) in future years.

Eligible projects include, but are not limited to, the replacement of highway diesel vehicles and equipment with newer, cleaner diesel, zero tailpipe emission (grid, battery, or fuel cell), hybrid,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Appendix D-2 of the VW settlement gives details of eligible mitigation actions and can be found at: <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-10/documents/statebeneficiaries.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> More information on the DERA option can be found on EPA's website: https://www.epa.gov/dera/volkswagenvw-settlement-dera-option

or alternative fuel vehicles/equipment that use engines certified by EPA to meet a more stringent set of engine emission standards. DOEE will prioritize electric and diesel-electric hybrid model replacement vehicles first under this program. To comply with Clean Energy DC, as well as the Clean Energy Omnibus Act of 2018, the District will prioritize public fleets for vehicle replacements through this program.

## **PF-DEAL Vehicle Replacements:**

The District will also utilize Eligible Mitigation Action #10 as outlined in Appendix D-2 of the Consent Decree<sup>27</sup> to assist with the transition of private fleets from older diesel vehicles to cleaner technologies. Approximately 9% of VW funds will be set aside for this endeavor, which leverages DERA funds along with fleet-owner contributions. This option will operate similarly to the DERA-DEAL option, however, under the PF-DEAL option, eligible on-road vehicles will come from private fleet owners rather than governmental agencies and the replacement vehicles will be owned by same private fleet owner.<sup>28</sup>

By requiring new vehicle purchases as opposed to vehicles repowers, we will enhance vehicle safety and invest trust funds in projects with longer service lives. By leveraging DERA funds, the District will enhance the total amount of funding available for vehicle replacements. Currently DERA requires a match of 75% for a new hybrid vehicle and 55% for a new electric vehicle from the participating party or vehicle owner/operator, though the DERA program may be updated by the granting authority (the Environmental Protection Agency) in future years.

Eligible projects include, but are not limited to, the replacement of highway diesel vehicles and equipment with zero tailpipe emission (grid, battery, or fuel cell), vehicles. DOEE will prioritize funding to fleets that operate in overburdened communities.

**Project Administration:** DOEE plans to allocate 8% of the VW funds for administrative purposes. These funds will cover the costs of program outreach, soliciting and reviewing project applications, writing Memoranda of Understanding with agencies, issuing rebates, verifying project completion, verifying scrappage, discussions with EPA and technical experts, accounting, audits, legal compliance, recordkeeping, reporting and related costs. In FY 2019, DOEE plans to hire one full-time staff member to coordinate the implementation of the Spending Plan. DOEE is also considering outsourcing some of these administrative functions, such as issuing rebates and verifying the destruction of engines, through a competitive procurement process. DOEE will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Appendix D-2 of the VW settlement gives details of eligible mitigation actions and can be found at: <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-10/documents/statebeneficiaries.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> More information on the DERA option can be found on EPA's website: https://www.epa.gov/dera/volkswagenvw-settlement-dera-option

report its actual administrative expenditures associated with implementing each project as part of its regular reports to the VW Settlement Trust.

# 8. Estimated Emission Benefits

DOEE has estimated the emission reduction benefits that could be achieved from the Locomotive Switcher Engine Replacement project, DEAL Program, DEAL-DERA program, and PF-DEAL program. The estimates were prepared using a hypothetical mix of vehicle categories, model years, fuel types, and charging station capacities. Emission reduction data was modeled using the Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool from Argonne National Laboratory and EPA's Diesel Emissions Quantifier. Actual emission benefits will vary depending on the specifics of the projects approved for funding.

Table 4 presents the costs and the total emission benefits, based on conservative estimates, resulting from the projects proposed in this spending plan.

Project	NOx Reduction (tons/year)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Reduction (tons/year)	GHG Reduction (tons/year)	Cost (in millions)*
Switcher Engine Replacement	60-65	1-2	685-695	~\$2.60
DEAL	6-47	0.1-2	285-4,002	~\$5.04
DEAL-DERA	0.2-2	0.01-0.08	49-225	~\$1.13
PF DEAL	0.44	0.001	218	~\$1.66
Total	67-114	1.1-4.1	1,237-5,140	~\$10.43

Table 4: Potential Costs and Emission Benefits for All Projects<sup>29</sup>

\* The cost estimates are based on the District's VW fund contribution and DERA grant.

#### 9. Equipment the District Decided Not To Fund

There are other types of equipment eligible for VW settlement funds, which the District has elected not to fund:

<u>Electric vehicle charging infrastructure for light duty vehicles</u>: The District is not allocating VW settlement funding for supply equipment, such as electric vehicle charging stations, for light duty zero emissions vehicles. The primary beneficiaries of this type of infrastructure would be private owners of electric vehicles. Instead, the District has opted to prioritize vehicle types that provide a direct benefit to a greater number of residents. Separately from the VW effort, the District is involved in ongoing work to leverage private funding to invest in private vehicle charging infrastructure in Washington, DC. Additionally, Electrify America is planning to install ZEV charging stations in the DC metropolitan area in the next 24 months.

<u>Biofuels</u>: Biofuel repowering was not chosen due to the Department of Public Works' interest in using soybean oil. When evaluating biofuels developed from soybean oil, a life cycle analysis shows the land use for growing the soybean is not sustainable and could be as harmful to the environment as the use of fossil fuels in regards to GHG emissions. The benefits of using the necessary land for food production rather than for fuel production are also a subject of debate.<sup>30</sup> Another concern revolves around the issue that biodiesel fuel could increase NOx emissions compared to petroleum diesel, possibly as much as 10 to12 percent.<sup>31</sup> There has been development of control technologies that have successfully mitigated the increased NOx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> This information was calculated from Argonne National Laboratory Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool and EPA's Diesel Emissions Quantifier Tool. This data is calculated based on preliminary assumptions about the types and numbers of vehicles that will participate in these programs. <sup>30</sup> <u>http://www.raeng.org.uk/publications/reports/biofuels.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> https://elibrary.asabe.org/abstract.asp?aid=20475.

emissions but these technologies have resulted in decreased engine efficiency and have shown an increase in other harmful pollutants, such as carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons.<sup>32</sup>

<u>New diesel trucks and buses</u>: DOEE decided not to fund new diesel trucks and buses mainly due to environmental factors. Although new diesel vehicles have greatly reduced their NOx emissions, use of diesel-powered vehicles still results in relatively high GHG and air toxics emissions. DOEE also wants to incentivize more zero emission vehicles, and the continued purchase of diesel vehicles would inhibit the incentive to move toward zero emission technology.

<u>CNG buses</u>: It appears that there is not much interest by District agencies to invest in any CNG buses due to the successful deployment of electric buses in other cities and the high cost of CNG fueling infrastructure. Table A8 also shows that CNG buses result in higher GHG emissions compared to electric buses.

<u>School buses</u>: The Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) is currently replacing older diesel school buses with new gasoline vehicles. Gasoline vehicle replacement is not eligible for funding under the VW Settlement Appendix D-2; therefore, the VW funds could not be utilized for this project.

<u>Rebates for Tailpipe Pollution Reduction Retrofits:</u> DOEE decided not to pursue this project as lower-emission vehicle technologies have advanced significantly in recent years, and by requiring new vehicle purchases, we will enhance vehicle safety and invest trust funds in projects with longer service lives compared to retrofits.

# **10.** Next Steps

This Spending Plan has been developed in accordance with the terms of the VW settlement. Funding from the VW settlement was made available to the District after the Spending Plan was accepted by the VW Trustee on July 6, 2018. DOEE began implementing the Spending Plan in early FY 2019. DOEE will use the District's VW settlement webpage (https://doee.dc.gov/page/volkswagen-settlement) to announce funds availability and application instructions, along with other District of Columbia-specific documents related to the VW settlement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0196890413004305.</u>

# APPENDICES

# **Appendix 1: Description of Locomotive Switcher Engine Replacement**

Project Description:	Replace the engines of five older diesel switcher locomotives with new diesel engines that are compliant with EPA's Tier 4 emission standards, or replacement with battery powered all- electric switchers. The switchers operate between Ivy City in Ward 5 and the Union Station Rail Terminal.
Implementing Agency:	Amtrak
Timeline for Implementation:	5 years
Project Cost:	Cost per switcher: \$1.3 million Total project cost (for 5 switchers): \$6.5 million
Leveraging: Will the project leverage outside funds?	Yes. This project will use DERA grant funds and Amtrak funds, in addition to VW settlement funds. Through the DERA option, Amtrak must contribute 60 percent of the cost of the project over the five-year period.
Anticipated Benefits:	<ul> <li>Per switcher:</li> <li>NOx reduction: 12.9 tons per year</li> <li>PM2.5 reduction: 0.33 tons per year</li> <li>GHG reduction: 138.4 tons of CO2 per year</li> <li>Increased reliability, and reduced maintenance and fuel costs</li> </ul>
EJ considerations	Switchers operate solely in the railyards that run between Ivy City and Union Station in Wards 5 and 6, respectively. Please refer to Figures A1 and A2 for a map of the railyard where the switchers are located. Comparing Figures A1 and A2 with Figure 3, the railyards are located adjacent to and upwind from the Wards with the highest asthma rates. As the prevailing wind direction flows toward the southeast, the emissions from the railyard flow through Wards 5, 7, and 8.

Option	Tons of NOx Reduced/yr	Tons of PM2.5 Reduced/yr	Tons of CO2 Reduced/yr	Cost of new switcher	DERA\$/ Ton of NOx Reduced	\$/Ton of PM2.5 Reduced	\$/Ton of CO2 Reduced
New Diesel Switcher Engines	12.9	0.33	138.4	\$1,300,000	\$104,284	\$3,951,368	\$9,393
All-Electric Switcher Replacement	13.9	0.343	281.3	\$1,260,000	\$90,647	\$3,673,469	\$4,479

Table A2: Cost Benefit Analysis of a Switcher Replacement<sup>33</sup>



Figure A1: Map of the Ivy City railyard in Ward 5. The railyard is depicted as the blue lines.<sup>34</sup>

**RAILROAD** SIGNALS of the

s DC Map 1 - Union S 4th Se suh Se

11-11-1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Emission estimates were calculated from EPA's Diesel Emissions Quantifier Tool. Cost estimates are based on an engine replacement cost of \$1,300,000 and do not include maintenance/repair, fuel, infrastructure, etc. The emission reductions are calculated based on the replacement of an older diesel locomotive. <sup>34</sup> <u>http://www.railfanguides.us/dc/map2/index.htm .</u>



Figure A2: Map of the Union Station Railyard and Its Connection to the Ivy City Railyard (both in orange color). <sup>35</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> <u>http://www.railfanguides.us/dc/map2/index.htm</u> .

#### **Appendix 2: Description of DEAL Program**

Project Description:	The DEAL Program funds enable District government agencies to replace older diesel refuse trucks by covering a portion of the incremental cost for new electric refuse trucks and infrastructure.	
Implementing Agency:	To be determined.	
Timeline for Implementation:	Starting in FY 2019, until funds run out.	
Project Cost:	A new electric refuse truck is estimated to cost \$450,000, and the infrastructure cost for one electric refuse truck is an additional \$50,000 to \$60,000. <sup>36</sup> The DEAL Program will fund up to \$240,000 towards the cost of a new electric refuse truck and infrastructure. This amount (\$240,000) corresponds to 80 percent of the difference between the cost of purchasing a new electric refuse truck (and associated electric infrastructure) and the cost of purchasing a new diesel refuse truck.	
Leveraging: Will the project leverage outside funds?	Yes. The agency purchasing the vehicle will cover the remaining costs as part of the DEAL Program.	
Anticipated Benefits: <sup>37</sup>	<ul> <li>NOx reduction: 0.470 tons</li> <li>PM2.5 reduction: 0.021 tons</li> <li>GHG reduction: 56.2 tons</li> <li>Noise reduction, and reduced maintenance and fuel costs</li> </ul>	
EJ considerations	The vehicle and infrastructure purchased with these funds must be used for routes in Wards 7 and 8, for a period of at least 6 years. The vehicle can also be used for routes outside Wards 7 and 8.	

Table A3: Electric Refuse Truck Replacement

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Source: AFLEET.
 <sup>37</sup> This information was calculated from Argonne National Laboratory Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool and EPA's Diesel Emissions Quantifier Tool.

Project Description:	The DEAL Program funds enable District government agencies to replace older diesel refuse trucks by covering a portion of the incremental cost for new CNG refuse trucks.			
Implementing Agency:	To be determined.			
Timeline for Implementation:	Starting in FY 2019, until funds run out.			
Project Cost:	A new CNG refuse truck is estimated to cost \$300,000. The DEAL Program will fund up to \$50,000 towards the cost of a new CNG refuse truck. This amount (\$50,000) corresponds to 55 percent of the difference between the cost of purchasing a new CNG refuse truck and the cost of purchasing a new diesel refuse truck. (CNG fueling station infrastructure costs are not eligible for VW settlement funds.)			
Leveraging: Will the project leverage outside funds?	Yes. The agency purchasing the vehicle will cover the remaining costs as part of the DEAL Program.			
Anticipated Benefits: <sup>38</sup>	<ul> <li>NOx reduction: 0.470 tons</li> <li>PM2.5 reduction: 0.021 tons</li> <li>GHG reduction: 39.7 tons</li> <li>Noise reduction</li> </ul>			
EJ considerations	The vehicle and infrastructure purchased with these funds must be used for routes in Wards 7 and 8, for a period of at least 6 years. The vehicle can also be used for routes outside Wards 7 and 8.			

TableA4: DEAL Program-- CNG Refuse Truck Replacement

Table A5. Cost Benefit Analysis of a Refuse Truck Replacement<sup>39</sup>

Option	Tons of NOx Reduced/yr	Tons of PM2.5 Reduced/yr	Tons of GHG Reduced/yr	Cost of Vehicle	\$/Ton of NOx Reduced	\$/Ton of PM2.5 Reduced	\$/Ton GHG Reduced
Electric	0.47	0.02	56.2	\$450,000	\$955,414	\$21,276,596	\$8,007
CNG	0.47	0.02	39.7	\$300,000	\$638,978	\$14,598,540	\$7,557

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> This information was calculated from Argonne National Laboratory Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> This information was calculated from Argonne National Laboratory Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool. Cost estimates are based on the purchase price of a new electric or CNG refuse truck and do not include maintenance/repair, fuel, infrastructure, etc. The emission reductions are calculated based on the replacement of an older diesel vehicle.

Project Description:	The DEAL Program funds enable District government agencies to replace older diesel transit buses by covering a portion of the incremental cost for new electric transit buses and infrastructure.	
Implementing Agency:	To be determined.	
Timeline for Implementation:	Starting in FY 2019, until funds run out.	
Project Cost:	The estimated cost of a new electric transit bus is \$770,000, and the infrastructure cost for one electric transit bus is an additional \$50,000 to \$60,000. <sup>40</sup> The DEAL Program will fund up to \$425,000 of the cost of a new electric transit bus and infrastructure. This amount (\$425,000) corresponds to 80 percent of the difference between the cost of purchasing a new electric transit bus (and associated electric infrastructure) and the cost of purchasing a new diesel transit bus.	
Leveraging: Will the project leverage outside funds?	Yes. The agency purchasing the vehicle will cover the remaining costs as part of the DEAL Program.	
Anticipated Benefits: <sup>41</sup>	<ul> <li>NOx reduction: 0.462 tons</li> <li>PM2.5 reduction: 0.010 tons</li> <li>GHG reduction: 24 tons</li> <li>Noise reduction, and reduced maintenance and fuel costs</li> </ul>	
EJ considerations	As a minimum requirement, agencies must prove that the vehicle and infrastructure will serve Wards 5, 7, and/or 8 for at least 60 percent of the service time or 60 percent of stops over a 6-year period. If the vehicle and infrastructure serves Wards 7 and/or 8 for at least 60 percent of the service time or 60 percent of stops over a 6-year period, the vehicle will be eligible for additional funding.	

Table A6: DEAL Program—Electric Tra	ransit Bus Replacement
-------------------------------------	------------------------

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Source: AFLEET.
 <sup>41</sup> This information was calculated from Argonne National Laboratory Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool.

Option	Tons of NOx Reduced/yr	Tons of PM2.5 Reduced/yr	Tons of GHG Reduced/yr	Cost of Vehicle	\$/Ton of NOx Reduced	\$/Ton of PM2.5 Reduced	\$/Ton GHG Reduced
Electric	0.46	0.01	24.1	\$770,000	\$1,666,667	\$74,038,462	\$31,950

Table A7: Cost Benefit Analysis of a Transit Bus Replacement<sup>42</sup>

Table A8: Project Comparisons between Refuse Truck and Transit Bus Replacements<sup>43</sup>

Vehicle Type	Vehicle Purchase Cost <sup>44</sup>	NOx Emissions (tons emitted per year)	PM 2.5 Emissions (tons emitted per year)	GHG Emissions (tons emitted per year)	Air Toxics Emissions (tons emitted per year)
Electric Refuse Truck	\$450,000	0	0	139	NONE
CNG Refuse Truck	\$300,000	0.001	0.001	156	MEDIUM
New Diesel Refuse Truck	\$210,000	0.029	0.001	196	HIGH
Electric Transit Bus	\$770,000	0	0	64	NONE
CNG Transit Bus	\$360,000	0.0013	0.001	72	MEDIUM
New Diesel Transit Bus	\$300,000	0.027	0.001	88	HIGH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> This information was calculated from Argonne National Laboratory Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool. Cost estimates are based on the purchase price of a new electric transit bus and do not include maintenance/repair, fuel, infrastructure, etc. The emission reductions are calculated based on the replacement of an older diesel vehicle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> This information was calculated from Argonne National Laboratory Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool and EPA's Diesel Emissions Quantifier Tool. This chart illustrates the total pollution emitted from one vehicle per year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> The vehicle cost does not include the infrastructure cost of charging or fueling station. Electric charging stations typically cost around \$100,000 and can be covered under the VW funds. CNG fueling infrastructure typically costs around \$1.2 million and is <u>not</u> eligible for funding. Both costs include installation. Source: Alternative Fuels Data Center.

# **Appendix 3: Traffic Volume Data**



M-NCPPC, VITA, Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, USGS, NGA, EPA, USDA, NPS

Figure A3: Daily Traffic Volume Data in Washington, DC

#### **Appendix 4:**

Summary of Modifications to the State's BMP (Updated January 31, 2021)

DEAL Program Update: Medium and Heavy-Duty Vehicles under Eligible Mitigation Action 10 ("The DERA Option")

As part of periodic evaluations, the State may revise the final Beneficiary Mitigation Plan (BMP) as necessary to reflect major changes in project demand, the State's priorities, and/or any increases to the State's EMT allocation in future years. A major driver of this update is the signed Multi-State Medium- and Heavy-Duty Zero Emission Vehicle Memorandum of Understanding <sup>45</sup> and the aggressive vehicle electrification goals outlined in Clean Energy DC<sup>46</sup>, which did not exist at the time of the original BMP.

The purpose of this update is to expand the current Diesel Emission And Low-NOx (DEAL) Program outlined in the Volkswagen Settlement BMP. Under the Volkswagen Mitigation Settlement, Beneficiaries may select from a defined list of ten Eligible Mitigation Actions (EMAs) that have proven records of reducing NOx emissions. These EMAs can be found in Appendix D-2 of the Consent Decree. EMA #10, the "DERA Option," allows beneficiaries to use trust funds for their non-federal voluntary match on state and tribal Diesel Emission Reduction Act (DERA) grants.

The DEAL program is expanding to include more types of on-road vehicles through this option, which will be referred to as the DEAL-DERA program. To the extent that DERA funding is available in future years, DOEE will make funding available under the DEAL-DERA program. DERA funding is also planned for use for the Locomotive Switcher engine repowers.

DOEE will no longer pursue the Tailpipe Pollution Reduction Retrofits Project and funding from this project will be reallocated to the DEAL-DERA program. Additional funds have been made available through lower than anticipated Volkswagen funding needed on the Switcher Replacement project due to DERA awards. See more on page 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> The Multi-State Medium- and Heavy-Duty Zero Emission Vehicle Memorandum of Understanding was signed by The District of Columbia in July, 2020: <u>https://www.nescaum.org/documents/multistate-truck-zev-governors-mou-20200714.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Among other provisions, the Act mandates that 100% of public buses and public fleets be zero-emission by 2045: <u>https://doee.dc.gov/service/clean-energy-dc-</u>

act#:~:text=The%20Clean%20Energy%20Omnibus%20Amendment,the%20fight%20against%20climate%20change.

# **Requirements:**

Project Description:	agencies to replace older diesel vehicles by covering a portion of the incremental cost for new electric or hybrid vehicles and infrastructure as permitted under the DERA program.			
Implementing Agency:	To be determined.			
Timeline for Implementation:	Starting in FY 2021, until funds run out.			
Project Cost:	A new hybrid or electric medium or heavy-duty refuse truck is estimated to cost between \$400,000 and \$650,000 and the infrastructure cost for one electric truck is an additional \$10,000 to \$60,000. <sup>47</sup> The DEAL-DERA Program will fund up to 25% of the cost of a hybrid truck and 45% of the cost of a new electric truck and infrastructure at present as determined by the EPA. Other vehicle types, as permitted under DERA, will also be considered, which may change cost estimates			
Leveraging: Will the project	Yes. DERA funds and the agency purchasing the vehicle will			
leverage outside funds?	cover a portion of the costs as part of the DEAL-DERA Program.			
Anticipated Benefits: <sup>48</sup>	<ul> <li>NOx reduction: 0.470 tons</li> <li>PM2.5 reduction: 0.021 tons</li> <li>GHG reduction: 56.2 tons</li> <li>Noise reduction, and reduced maintenance and fuel costs</li> </ul>			
EJ considerations	The vehicle and infrastructure purchased with these funds must be used primarily for routes in Wards 7 and 8, for a period of at least 6 years. The vehicle can also be used for routes outside Wards 7 and 8.			

#### Table A9: DEAL-DERA Vehicle Replacement

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Source: Vendor Inquires and AFLEET.
 <sup>48</sup> This information was calculated from Argonne National Laboratory Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool and EPA's Diesel Emissions Quantifier Tool. Benefits will vary.

#### Appendix 5:

Summary of Modifications to the State's BMP (Updated July 13, 2023)

DEAL Program Update: Medium and Heavy-Duty Vehicles under Eligible Mitigation Action 10 ("The DERA Option")

As part of periodic evaluations, the State may revise the final Beneficiary Mitigation Plan (BMP) as necessary to reflect major changes in project demand, the State's priorities, and/or any increases to the State's EMT allocation in future years. A major driver of this update is the additional funding that has been obtained through the DERA program, which has offset some of the costs originally expected to be borne by the VW Mitigation Trust. Additionally, DOEE is still developing approaches to implementing the Multi-State Medium- and Heavy-Duty Zero Emission Vehicle Memorandum of Understanding <sup>49</sup> and the aggressive vehicle electrification goals outlined in Clean Energy DC<sup>50</sup>, which did not exist at the time of the original BMP.

The purpose of this update is to expand the current Diesel Emission And Low-NOx (DEAL) Program outlined in the Volkswagen Settlement BMP. Under the Volkswagen Mitigation Settlement, Beneficiaries may select from a defined list of ten Eligible Mitigation Actions (EMAs) that have proven records of reducing NOx emissions. These EMAs can be found in Appendix D-2 of the Consent Decree. EMA #10, the "DERA Option," allows beneficiaries to use trust funds for their non-federal voluntary match on state and tribal Diesel Emission Reduction Act (DERA) grants.

The DEAL program is expanding to include more types of on-road vehicles through this option, which will be referred to as the Private-Fleet DEAL (PF-DEAL). To the extent that DERA funding is available in future years, DOEE will also make funding available under the PF-DEAL program in addition to the DEAL-DERA program. The DEAL-DERA program has focused on governmental vehicles, whereas this new program is extending eligibility for vehicle electrification funds to private fleet owners. DERA funding is also planned for use for the Locomotive Switcher replacements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> The Multi-State Medium- and Heavy-Duty Zero Emission Vehicle Memorandum of Understanding was signed by The District of Columbia in July, 2020: <u>https://www.nescaum.org/documents/multistate-truck-zev-governors-mou-20200714.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Among other provisions, the Act mandates that 100% of public buses and public fleets be zero-emission by 2045: <u>https://doee.dc.gov/service/clean-energy-dc-</u>

act#:~:text=The%20Clean%20Energy%20Omnibus%20Amendment,the%20fight%20against%20climate%20change.

# **Requirements:**

Project Description:	The PF-DEAL Program funds enable District government agencies to replace older diesel vehicles by covering a portion of the incremental cost for new electric or hybrid vehicles and infrastructure as permitted under the DERA program.
Implementing Agency:	DOEE
Timeline for Implementation:	Starting in FY 2023, until funds run out.
Project Cost:	A new hybrid or electric class 5+ medium or heavy duty vehicles estimated to cost between approximately \$400,000 and \$950,000 and the infrastructure cost for one electric vehicle is an additional \$10,000 to \$60,000. <sup>51</sup> The PF-DEAL Program will fund up to 25% of the cost of a hybrid vehicle and 45% of the cost of a new electric vehicle and infrastructure at present as determined by the EPA. Other vehicle types, as permitted under DERA, will also be considered, which may change cost estimates.
Leveraging: Will the project leverage outside funds?	Yes. DERA funds and the agency purchasing the vehicle will cover a portion of the costs as part of the PF-DEAL Program.
Anticipated Benefits: <sup>52</sup>	<ul> <li>NOx reduction: 6.62 tons</li> <li>PM2.5 reduction: 0.022 tons</li> <li>GHG reduction: 3,278.01 tons</li> <li>Noise reduction, and reduced maintenance and fuel costs</li> </ul>
EJ considerations	DOEE will prioritize awarding funds to fleets replacing vehicles that operate in communities overburdened by diesel emissions. DOEE defines overburdened as greater than the 80th percentile for the Environmental Justice index for diesel particulate matter as compared to the US as a whole (see EPA EJ Screen <u>https://ejscreen.epa.gov/mapper/</u> ).

#### Table A10: PF-DEAL Vehicle Replacement

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Source: Vendor Inquires and AFLEET.
 <sup>52</sup> This information was calculated from Argonne National Laboratory Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool and EPA's Diesel Emissions Quantifier Tool. Benefits will vary.



#### FISCAL YEAR 2019

### STATE CLEAN DIESEL GRANT PROGRAM

#### WORK PLAN AND BUDGET NARRATIVE TEMPLATE

INSTRUCTIONS: States and territories applying for FY 2019 DERA State Clean Diesel Grant Program funding must use this template to prepare their Work Plan and Budget Narrative.

Please refer to the FY 2019 STATE CLEAN DIESEL PROGRAM INFORMATION GUIDE for full Program details, eligibility criteria and funding restrictions, and application instructions.

#### \*\*\*\*

#### SUMMARY PAGE

Project Title: District of Columbia FY 2019 State DERA Grant

**Project Manager and Contact Information** 

Organization Name: Department of Energy and Environment

Project Manager: Rama S. Tangirala

Mailing Address: 1200 First Street, NE, 5th Floor, Washington, DC 20002

Phone: 1-202-535-2989

Fax:

Email: rama.tangirala@dc.gov

**Project Budget Overview:** 

#### **Table 1: Project Budget Overview**

	FY 2019
EPA Base Allocation	\$ 315,344
State or Territory Voluntary Matching Funds (if applicable)	\$ 315,344
EPA Match Incentive (Bonus) (if applicable)	\$ 157,672
Mandatory Cost-Share	\$ 896,250
TOTAL Project Cost	\$ 1,684,610
Other Leveraged Funds	\$

#### **Project Period**

October 1, 2019 - September 30, 2021

#### Summary Statement

The District of Columbia's (District) Department of Energy and Environment/Air Quality Division (DOEE/AQD) is proposing to spend the DERA Grant funds to repower one switcher locomotive with an EPA Certified Tier 4 Generator-Set (GenSet) or cleaner engine. DOEE is also proposing to set up a rebate program with the remaining funds for tailpipe emission reduction retrofits, which include idle reduction and exhaust control technologies for onroad diesel vehicles. The District will be using a portion of its Volkswagen Settlement Funds as a State Voluntary Match for the FY 2019 DERA grant.

#### \*\*\*\*

#### SCOPE OF WORK

DOEE will work with Amtrak to procure and install one replacement engine on a switcher locomotive operating between Union Station and the Ivy City Railyard to help reduce diesel emissions in the District. DOEE will spend the remainder of the DERA Grant towards a rebate program that will fund tailpipe emission reduction retrofits such as idle reduction and exhaust control technologies.

# STATE/TERRITORY GOALS AND PRIORITIES:

The District is currently in attainment with national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ ), carbon monoxide (CO), lead, sulfur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ), and nitrogen dioxide ( $NO_2$ ). DOEE is in the process of requesting that EPA redesignate the region as attainment for the 2008 ozone NAAQS. EPA is currently reviewing the redesignation request and maintenance plan. The District is in marginal nonattainment for the 2015 ozone NAAQS. Therefore, there is need to find additional reductions of oxides of nitrogen ( $NO_X$ ) emissions, a precursor for ozone, including from sources of diesel emissions to attain the 2015 and maintain the 2008 ozone NAAQS.

According to the 2014 National Emissions Inventory, 6,518 tons of NO<sub>X</sub> were emitted from the mobile sector in the District, and of that total, 3,909 tons were emitted from diesel vehicles. The mobile sector also accounted for 383 tons of PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions, with diesel vehicles emitting 226 tons per year.

Diesel emissions contain  $NO_X$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and air toxics. Because of the negative impacts on public health of  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $NO_X$ , including respiratory impacts such as asthma and cardiac-related problems, EPA has set health-based NAAQS for these pollutants. Air toxics have well-known negative effects on human health as well. In the District, asthma affects nearly 15.5 percent of children under 18, compared to a national rate of less than 9 percent. The proposed projects will immediately reduce diesel emissions and improve public health since emission reductions from heavy-duty diesel engines can achieve some of the most significant  $NO_X$  reductions among the clean diesel options.

#### VEHICLES AND TECHNOLOGIES:

#### Switcher Locomotive Engine Replacement

Amtrak will replace the engine of one switcher locomotive with a Tier 4 GenSet or cleaner engine to reduce emissions from diesel exhaust. The switcher locomotives operate daily at both Union Station and the Ivy City Railyard and move or "switch" rail cars around the railyards. The switcher locomotives are considered a certified eligible vehicle as defined in Section VIII.B of the DERA Program Guide.

#### Tailpipe Emission Reduction Retrofits

The tailpipe emission reduction retrofits rebate will be open to public and private entities. The vehicles selected will meet EPA's eligibility criteria under VIII.C.1 and VIII.C.4 of the DERA program guide. Funding will be available for most technologies with the exception of biodiesel for exhaust control technologies.

# **ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES:**

#### Switcher Locomotive Engine Replacement

DOEE will issue a sub-grant to administer the repowering or replacement of one qualifying Amtrak diesel switcher. The sub-grantee will develop the scope, deliverables, schedule, activities, and funding of the project at the approval of DOEE. Amtrak will then work, with consultation with the sub-grantee, to administer the project, request proposals from vendors, select manufacturers of equipment and execute contracts. DOEE will verify that the project is eligible. Amtrak must provide proof that the switcher has been in use for at least 1,000 hours annually; the sub-grantee will oversee the project and will provide quarterly reports on the status of the project. Upon successful completion of the repowering or replacement, Amtrak must scrap the old engine and provide documentation to the sub-grantee and DOEE. When the repowered switcher is returned to Washington, DC, DOEE will inspect the switcher to verify that the work was performed. DOEE will reimburse the sub-grantee once the sub-grantee submits an invoice to DOEE along with the necessary documentation.

#### TIMELINE AND MILESTONES:

Action	Schedule		
Grant Award	October 1, 2019		
Publish Request for Application (RFA)	December 1, 2019		
Award sub-grantee	February 1, 2020		
Sub-grantee to sign MOU with Amtrak	March 15, 2020		
Procurement process	May 31, 2020		
Engine replacement	July 1, 2021		
Track performance (inspections)	August 15, 2021		
Project completion	September 30, 2021		

Table 2: Switcher Replacement – Timeline and Milestones

## Tailpipe Emission Reduction Retrofits

DOEE will fund the tailpipe emission reduction retrofits project through a rebate program. Any interested entity can apply for the funds on a first-come first-served basis and will get reimbursed once DOEE is supplied with an invoice. The entity will also have to supply proof of purchase and an application.

Action	Schedule
Grant Award	October 1, 2019
Develop Rebate program	December 31, 2019
Advertise project to potential users	February 28, 2020
Entities submit applications	August 2, 2020
All rebates must be completed	September 30, 2020

#### Table 3: Tailpipe Emission Reduction Retrofits - Timeline and Milestones

# DERA PROGRAMMATIC PRIORITIES:

The District is considered a priority area under EPA's 2018 National Priority Area List for the 2008 ozone NAAQS, 1997  $PM_{2.5}$  NAAQS, and National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA). The District is also designated as nonattainment under both the 2008 and 2015 Ozone NAAQS, although it will likely be redesignated to maintenance for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS. Thus it is a priority to focus on the reduction of NO<sub>X</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions. Both the switcher engine replacement and tailpipe emission reduction retrofit projects will immediately reduce diesel emissions and improve public health.

The cost effectiveness of the switcher locomotive repower was calculated to be approximately 100,471/ton of NO<sub>X</sub> reduction based on a cost of 12.3 million and an emission reduction of 12.9 tons per year (tpy). The cost effectiveness for the tailpipe emission reduction retrofits range from 101,000/ton to 235,000/ton of NO<sub>X</sub> reduction depending on the type of retrofit.

The locomotive switcher replacement also meets one of the programmatic priorities by being located in a railyard. This location is considered an area that bears a disproportionate amount of air pollution under the programmatic priorities under VIII.D of DERA's Program Guide.

# EPA'S STRATEGIC PLAN LINKAGE AND ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES/OUTPUTS:

Goal 1 of EPA's 2018-2022 Strategic Plan is "Core Mission: Deliver real results to provide Americans with clean air, land, and water, and ensure chemical safety". The proposed projects will replace a heavy-duty diesel switcher locomotive engine with a new Tier 4 Genset or cleaner, and install tailpipe emission reduction retrofits on up to approximately 300 vehicles<sup>1</sup>, both of which will emit much lower levels of NO<sub>X</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. As a result, local and regional air pollution will be reduced and health improved.

The outputs of DOEE's proposed projects include one switcher locomotive engine replacement and the installation of idle reduction and/or exhaust control technologies. The number of vehicles

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 300 assumes that all rebate participants choose the least costly option (i.e., idling reduction technologies) although later we assume a split in rebates between all options for budgeting purposes.

retrofitted through the tailpipe program is uncertain at this point as it is open to any interested entity, but we assumed up to 32 vehicles with idle reduction and 9 vehicles with exhaust control technologies.

Both the switcher locomotive engine replacement and the tailpipe emission reduction retrofits will result in environmentally beneficial outcomes, both short- and long-term. As a result of the proposed projects, in the short term the District will have decreased daily and weekly  $NO_X$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions and thus decreased exposure to these pollutants of residential communities located near the railyards and tourists visiting Union Station. The proposed projects will reduce  $NO_X$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions over the course of years, helping to reduce the formation of ozone in the District and to maintain the status as attainment for fine particulates. In the long term, the reduction of diesel emissions resulting from the proposed project will help to reduce the asthma rate in the District. The outcomes for both projects can be seen in the table below.

Output	Outcome			
	NO <sub>X</sub> Reduced (tpy)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Reduced (tpy)		
One GenSet Replacement	12.9	0.29		
41 Tailpipe Emission Reduction Retrofits	1.7	0.07		
Total Reduction	14.6	0.40		

# **Table 4: Emission Reduction Benefits**

Emissions benefits for the switcher locomotive engine replacement were calculated using EPA's Diesel Emissions Quantifier. The emissions benefits calculations for the tailpipe emission reduction retrofits were calculated using the Argonne National Laboratory's Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool.

In addition to emission reductions, these projects will result in reduced fuel consumption. The switcher engine replacement will result in savings of about 12,300 gallons of diesel annually for the single replacement. Idle reduction control technologies could save up to 40.1 gallons of diesel annually per retrofitted vehicle, or potentially up to 1,644 gallons for the total of 41 vehicle retrofits.

# SUSTAINABILITY OF THE PROGRAM:

Both the switcher locomotive engine replacement and tailpipe emission control projects will be permanent installations, and thus will continue to reduce harmful emissions throughout their useful life.

DOEE will have a press event with Amtrak upon the completion of the project. DOEE will also send out periodic tweets on both the switcher locomotive and tailpipe emission control projects, which will be posted to DOEE's website, <u>doee.dc.gov</u>.

····	······	····		DS	- 96367801 - 0	Page 1
and en.			GRANT NUMBER (F	AIN): 96367	801	····
UNITED ANALES			MODIFICATION NUMBER: 0		DATE C	OF AWARD
2 🗖 C	BRATCATI		PROGRAM CODE:	DS	08/16/20	019
	PROTECTIO	JN AGENCY	TYPE OF ACTION		MAILIN 08/23/2	G DATE 019
MAL PROTECTION	Cooperative	e Agreement	PAYMENT METHOD ASAP	:	ACH# 30122	
RECIPIENT TYPE: State			Send Payment Requ	lest to:		
RECIPIENT:			PAYEE	· · · ·		
District of Columbia Go 1200 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20002 EIN: 53-6001131	vt of dba Department of Er	ergy and Environment	District of Columbia ( 1200 First Street, NE Washington, DC 200	Govt of dba Dep 02	artment of Energy	and Environment
PROJECT MANAGER		EPA PROJECT OFFICE	ER	EPA GRANT	SPECIAL IST	
Rama Seshu Tangirala		Afison Riley	····	Matthew Cree	dreometer	
1200 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20002 E-Mail: rama.tangiraia@ Phone: 202-535-2989	2dc.gov	1650 Arch Street, 3AD2 Philadelphia, PA 19103 E-Mail: Riley,Alison@e Phone: 215-814-2095	Matthew Creedon Grants and Audit Management Branch, 3MD70 -2029 E-Mail: Creedon.Matthew@epa.gov pa.gov Phone: 215-814-5174			Branch, 3MD70 a.gov
emissions of diesel partic BUDGET PERIOD	Station and the Ivy City R n that will fund tailpipe emi culate matter and other pol	ailyard to help reduce dies ssion reduction retrofits su lutants such as nitrogen o:	el emissions in the Distri ich as idle reduction and xides, carbon monoxide a	ct. DOEE will sp exhaust control and hydrocarbor	cond the remainde technologies. The hs.	r of the DERA Grant projects will reduce
10/01/2019 - 09/30/202	1 10/01/201	9 - 09/30/2021	\$1,684,610.00 \$1,684,610		TOTAL PROJEC \$1,684,610.00	T PERIOD COST
		NOTICE	OF AWARD		·····	
Based on your Applicatio Protection Agency (EPA) total federal funding of \$4 by either: 1) drawing dow and conditions within 21 of the authorized representa amendment mailing date. award/amendment, and a all terms and conditions of	n dated 06/18/2019 includi hereby awards \$473,016. 73,016. Recipient's signa n funds within 21 days after days after the EPA award of titve of the recipient must f In case of disagreement, my costs incurred by the re- of this agreement and any a	ng all modifications and an EPA agrees to cost-share ture is not required on this or the EPA award or amend or amendment mailing date urnish a notice of disagree and until the disagreemen ocipient are at its own risk. attachments.	mendments, the United S <u>28.08</u> % of all approved I agreement. The recipie dment mailing date; or 2) a. If the recipient disagre ament to the EPA Award t is resolved, the recipien This agreement is subje	itates acting by budget period co nt demonstrates not filing a notic res with the term Official within 2 <sup>-</sup> t should not dra ct to applicable	and through the U osts incurred, up to sits commitment to ce of disagreemen is and conditions s 1 days after the EF w down on the fun EPA regulatory an	S Environmental e and not exceeding o carry out this award t with the award terms specified in this award, A award or ds provided by this d statutory provisions,
ISSUING OFFIC	E (GRANTS MANAGEME	INT OFFICE)	<u> </u>		OVAL OFFICE	
ORGANIZATION / ADD	RESS		ORGANIZATION / AD	DRESS	I THE OTTICE	— — — —
US EPA Region 3, 3MD70 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029 EVALUATE: The street of the s					·	
	THE UNITED STATE	S OF AMERICA BY THE	U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL	PROTECTION	AGENCY	
Digital signature applie	d by EPA Award Official	for John J. Krakowiek - A	Inting Appletant Pasianal	Administration	MULINUI	DATE
	Lisa White - Award Official delegate 08/16/2019					

# EPA Funding Information

DS - 96367801 - 0 Page 2

FUNDS	FORMER AWARD	THIS ACTION	
EPA Amount This Action	\$	\$ 473,016	\$ 473,016
EPA In-Kind Amount		s	\$0
Unexpended Prior Year Balance	\$	\$	\$0
Other Federal Funds	\$	s	\$0
Recipient Contribution	\$		
State Contribution	\$	\$ 315,344	\$ 315 344
Local Contribution	\$	\$	\$0
Other Contribution	\$	\$ 896,250	\$ 896 250
Allowable Project Cost	\$0	\$ 1.684.610	\$ 1 684 610

Assistance Program (CFDA)	Statutory Authority	Regulatory Authority
66.040 - State Clean Diesel Grant Program (B) 	Diesel Emissions Reduction Act of 2010 codified at 42 U.S.C. 16131 et seq Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2019 (PL 116-6)	2 CFR 200 2 CFR 1500 and 40 CFR 33

			····	Fiscal		*******	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Site Name	Req No	FY	Approp. Code	Budget Organization	PRC	Object Class	Site/Project	Cost Organization	Obligation /
•	1903MA0062	19	E4	03M2	000AH4	4123	·····		473.016
		ł							
						- 1			
	1 1	ł				1			
	1			<u> </u>		i			473,016



# FISCAL YEAR 2018

# STATE CLEAN DIESEL GRANT PROGRAM

# WORK PLAN AND BUDGET NARRATIVE TEMPLATE

INSTRUCTIONS: States and territories applying for FY 2018 DERA State Clean Diesel Grant Program funding must use this template to prepare their Work Plan and Budget Narrative.

. . . . . . .

·····

Please refer to the FY 2017-2018 STATE CLEAN DIESEL PROGRAM INFORMATION GUIDE for full Program details, eligibility criteria and funding restrictions, and application instructions.

#### \*\*\*\*

#### SUMMARY PAGE

Project Title: District of Columbia FY 2018 State DERA Grant

**Project Manager and Contact Information** 

#### Organization Name: District of Columbia Department of Energy and Environment (DOEE)

Project Manager: Dr. Rama Seshu Tangirala

Mailing Address: 1200 First Street, NE, Fifth Floor, Washington, DC 20002

Phone: 1-202-535-2989

Email: rama.tangirala@dc.gov

#### **Project Budget Overview:**

#### **Table 1: Project Budget Overview**

	FY 2017*	FY 2018
EPA Base Allocation	\$	\$ 274,053
State or Territory Matching Funds (if applicable)	\$	\$ 309,000
EPA Match Incentive (if applicable)	\$	\$ 137,027
Mandatory Cost-Share	\$	\$ 968.750
TOTAL Project	\$	\$ 1,688,830

\*FY 2017 budget is only for states and territories with open FY 2017 State DERA grants

#### **Project Period**

October 1, 2018 - September 30, 2020

#### Summary Statement

The District of Columbia's (District) Department of Energy and Environment (DOEE) is proposing to use the DERA Grant funds to repower one switcher locomotive with an EPA Certified Tier 4 Generator-Set (GenSet) engine. DOEE is also proposing to set up a rebate program with the remaining funds for tailpipe emission reduction retrofits, which include idle reduction and exhaust control technologies for onroad diesel vehicles. District will be using a portion of its Volkswagen Settlement Funds as a State Voluntary Match for the FY 2018 DERA grant.

#### \*\*\*\*

#### SCOPE OF WORK

DOEE will work with Amtrak to procure and install one replacement engine on a switcher locomotive operating between Union Station and the Ivy City Railyard to help reduce diesel emissions in the District. DOEE will spend the remainder of the DERA Grant towards a rebate program that will fund tailpipe emission reduction retrofits such as idle reduction and exhaust control technologies.

#### STATE/TERRITORY GOALS AND PRIORITIES:

The District is currently in attainment with national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ ), carbon monoxide (CO), lead, sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>). The District is in marginal nonattainment for the 2015 ozone NAAQS. Based on the improved air quality, DOEE requested EPA to redesignate the region as attainment for the 2008 ozone NAAQS. EPA is in the process of approving the District's redesignation request and maintenance plan for the old 2008 ozone NAAQS. Therefore, there is need to find additional reductions of oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>) emissions, a precursor for ozone, including from sources of diesel emissions to attain the 2015 and maintain the 2008 ozone NAAQS.

According to the 2014 National Emissions Inventory, 6,518 tons of  $NO_X$  were emitted from the mobile sector in the District, and of that total, 3,909 tons were emitted from diesel vehicles. The mobile sector also accounted for 383 tons of  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions, with diesel vehicles emitting 226 tons per year.

Diesel emissions contain  $NO_X$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and air toxics. Because of the negative impacts on public health of  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $NO_X$ , including respiratory impacts such as asthma and cardiac-related problems, EPA has set health-based NAAQS for these pollutants. Air toxics have well-known negative effects on human health as well. In the District, asthma affects nearly 15.5 percent of children under 18, compared to a national rate of less than 9 percent. The proposed projects will immediately reduce diesel emissions and improve public health since emission reductions from heavy-duty diesel engines can achieve some of the most significant  $NO_X$  reductions among the clean diesel options.

#### VEHICLES AND TECHNOLOGIES:

#### Switcher Locomotive Engine Replacement

Amtrak will replace the engine of one switcher locomotive with a Tier 4 GenSet engine to reduce emissions from diesel exhaust. The switcher locomotives operate daily at both Union Station and the Ivy City Railyard and move or "switch" rail cars around the railyards. The switcher locomotives are considered a certified eligible vehicle as defined in Section VIII.B of the DERA Program Guide.

#### Tailpipe Emission Reduction Retrofits

The tailpipe emission reduction retrofits rebate will be open to public and private entities. The vehicles selected will meet EPA's eligibility criteria under VIII.C.1 and VIII.C.4 of the DERA program guide. Funding will be available for most technologies with the exception of biodiesel for exhaust control technologies.

#### **ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES:**

#### Switcher Locomotive Engine Replacement

DOEE and Amtrak will develop a Memorandum of Understanding on the scope, deliverables, schedule, activities, and funding of the project. Amtrak will then work, with consultation to DOEE, to administer the project, request proposals from vendors, select manufacturers of equipment and execute contracts. DOEE will verify that the project is eligible. Amtrak must provide proof that the switcher has been in use for at least 1,000 hours annually; DOEE will oversee the project, while Amtrak will provide monthly reports on the status of the project. Upon successful completion of the new engine replacement, Amtrak must scrap the old engine and provide documentation to DOEE. When the repowered switcher is returned to Washington, DC, DOEE will inspect the switcher to verify that the work was performed. DOEE will reimburse Amtrak submits an invoice to DOEE along with the necessary documentation.

#### TIMELINE AND MILESTONES:

Action	Schedule	—
Sub grant Award	September 15, 2019	
Develop MOU	November 30, 2019	
Procurement process	February 28, 2020	
Engine replacement	August 31, 2020	
Track performance (inspections)	September 15, 2020	
Project completion	September 30, 2020	

# Table 2: Switcher Replacement – Timeline and Milestones

#### Tailpipe Emission Reduction Retrofits

DOEE will fund the tailpipe emission reduction retrofits project through a rebate program. Any interested entity can apply for the funds on a first-come first-served basis and will get reimbursed once DOEE is supplied with an invoice. The entity will also have to supply proof of purchase and an application.

Action	Schedule
Grant Award	October 1, 2018
Develop Rebate program	November 30, 2019
Advertise project to potential users	January 31, 2020
Entities submit applications	April 30, 2020
All rebates must be completed	September 30, 2020

#### Table 3: Tailpipe Emission Reduction Retrofits - Timeline and Milestones

#### **DERA PROGRAMMATIC PRIORITIES:**

The District is considered a priority area under EPA's 2018 National Priority Area List for the 2008 O3 NAAQS, 1997  $PM_{2.5}$  NAAQS, and NATA. The District is also designated as nonattainment under both the 2008 and 2015 Ozone NAAQS, although it will likely be redesignated to maintenance for the 2008 Ozone NAAQS. Thus it is a priority to focus on the reduction of NO<sub>X</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions. Both the switcher engine replacement and tailpipe emission reduction retrofit projects will immediately reduce diesel emissions and improve public health.

The cost effectiveness of the switcher locomotive repower was calculated to be approximately 100,471/ton of NO<sub>X</sub> reduction based on a cost of 1.3 million and an emission reduction of 12.9 tons per year (tpy). The cost effectiveness for the tailpipe emission reduction retrofits range from 100,00/ton to 235,000/ton of NO<sub>X</sub> reduction depending on the type of retrofit.

The locomotive switcher replacement also meets one of the programmatic priorities by being located in a railyard. This location is considered an area that bears a disproportionate amount of air pollution under the programmatic priorities under VIII.D of DERA's Program Guide.

# EPA'S STRATEGIC PLAN LINKAGE AND ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES/OUTPUTS:

Goal 1 of EPA's 2018-2022 Strategic Plan is "Core Mission: Deliver real results to provide Americans with clean air, land, and water, and ensure chemical safety". The proposed projects will replace a heavy-duty diesel switcher locomotive engine with a new Tier 4 Genset, and install tailpipe emission reduction retrofits on up to approximately 300 vehicles<sup>1</sup>, both of which will emit much lower levels of NO<sub>X</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. As a result, local and regional air pollution will be reduced and health improved.

The outputs of DOEE's proposed projects include one switcher locomotive engine replacement and the installation of idle reduction and/or exhaust control technologies. The number of vehicles retrofitted through the tailpipe program is uncertain at this point as it is open to any interested entity, but we assumed up to 74 vehicles with idle reduction and 8 vehicles with exhaust control technologies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 300 assumes that all rebate participants choose the least costly option (i.e., idling reduction technologies) though later we assume a split in rebates between all options for budgeting purposes.

Both the switcher locomotive engine replacement and the tailpipe emission reduction retrofits will result in environmentally beneficial outcomes, both short- and long-term. As a result of the proposed projects, in the short term the District will have decreased daily and weekly  $NO_X$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions and thus decreased exposure to these pollutants of residential communities located near the railyards and tourists visiting Union Station. The proposed projects will reduce  $NO_X$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions over the course of years, helping to reduce the formation of ozone in the District and to maintain the status as attainment for fine particulates. In the long term, the reduction of diesel emissions resulting from the proposed project will help to reduce the asthma rate in the District. The outcomes for both projects can be seen in the table below.

Output	Outcome			
	NO <sub>X</sub> reduced (tpy)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Reduced (tpv)		
One GenSet Replacement	12.9	0.33		
78 Tailpipe Emission Reduction Retrofits	2.5	0.2		
Total Reduction	15.4	0.53		

# **Table 4: Emission Reduction Benefits**

Emissions benefits for the switcher locomotive engine replacement were calculated using EPA's Diesel Emissions Quantifier. The emissions benefits calculations for the tailpipe emission reduction retrofits were calculated using the Argonne National Laboratory's Alternative Fuel Life-Cycle Environmental and Economic Transportation (AFLEET) Tool.

In addition to emission reductions, these projects will result in reduced fuel consumption. The switcher engine replacement will result in savings of about 12,300 gallons of diesel annually for the single replacement. Idle reduction control technologies could save up to 194 gallons of diesel annually per retrofitted vehicle, or potentially up to 14,356 gallons for the total of 74 vehicle retrofits.

# SUSTAINABILITY OF THE PROGRAM:

Both the switcher locomotive engine replacement and tailpipe emission control projects will be permanent installations, and thus will continue to reduce harmful emissions throughout their useful life.

DOEE will have a press event with Amtrak upon the completion of the project. DOEE will also send out periodic tweets on both the switcher locomotive and tailpipe emission control projects, which will be posted to DOEE's website, <u>doee.dc.gov</u>.

	······································		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DS	- 96360201 - 1	Page 1
S' CHITED STATED	U.S. ENVIR	S. ENVIRONMENTAL		GRANT NUMBER (FAIN): 96360201 MODIFICATION NUMBER: 1 DAT PROGRAM CODE: DS 08/0		TE OF AWARD 08/2019
		AGENUT	No Cost Amendment		MAILI 08/08/	NG DATE
Ronal Street	Assistance	Amendment	PAYMENT METHOD ASAP	:	ACH#	
RECIPIENT TYPE:	L	······································	Send Payment Requ	lest to:		<u> </u>
State RECIPIENT-			N/A		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
District of Columbia Gov 1200 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20002 EIN: 53-6001131	vt of dba Department of En	ergy and Environment	District of Columbia ( 1200 First Street, NE Washington, DC 200	Govt of dba Dep 02	partment of Energ	y and Environment
PROJECT MANAGER		EPA PROJECT OFFICE	ER	EPA GRANT	SPECIALIST	·
Cecily Beall 1200 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20002 E-Mail: cecily.beali@dc Phone: 202-535-2626	1.gov	Alison Riley 1650 Arch Street, 3AD2 Philadelphia, PA 19103 E-Mail: Riley.Alison@e Phone: 215-814-2095	ATTOLIX         EPA GRANT SPECIALIST           3AD20         Matthew Creedon           19103-2029         Grants and Audit Management Brand           com@epa.gov         Phone: 215-814-5174			
BUDGET PERIOD 10/01/2018 - 09/30/202	0 PROJECT	PERIOD 3 - 09/30/2020	TOTAL BUDGET PER	RIOD COST	TOTAL PROJE	CT PERIOD COST
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	NOTICE	OF AWARD		\$1,688,830.00	
Based on your Applicatio Protection Agency (EPA) federal funding of \$411,0 either: 1) drawing down fr and conditions within 21 of the authorized represents amendment mailing date. award/amendment, and a all terms and conditions of	n dated 06/15/2018 includir hereby awards \$. EPA agr 80. Recipient's signature is unds within 21 days after th days after the EPA award o ative of the recipient must fit. In case of disagreement, a my costs incurred by the re- of this agreement and any a	ng all modifications and ar ees to cost-share <u>24.34</u> % not required on this agree e EPA award or amendme r amendment mailing date infunction of disagree and until the disagreement cipient are at its own risk. ttachments.	mendments, the United S of all approved budget p ement. The recipient de ent mailing date; or 2) no a. If the recipient disagre- ment to the EPA Award t is resolved, the recipien This agreement is subje	States acting by beriod costs incu- monstrates its o it filing a notice les with the term Official within 2 it should not dra ct to applicable	and through the urred, up to and n commitment to ca of disagreement ns and conditions 1 days after the E aw down on the fu EPA regulatory a	US Environmental of exceeding total irry out this award by with the award terms is specified in this awar EPA award or inds provided by this ind statutory provision
ISSUING OFFIC	E (GRANTS MANAGEME	NT OFFICE)	T			
ORGANIZATION / ADDR	RESS		ORGANIZATION / AD	DRESS	RUVAL OFFICE	······································
US EPA Region 3, 3MD 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-	70 2029	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U.S. EPA, Region 3 Air and Radiation Divis 1650 Arch Street	ioл, 3AD00		<u></u>
	THE UNITED STATE		Filladeipnia, PA 1910	3-2029		
Digital signature applie	ed by EPA Award Official	Jacqueline Guerry - Bran	ch Chief Grants and Aug	PROTECTION	AGENCY	DATE
		<del></del>				08/08/2019

# EPA Funding Information

DS ~ 96360201 - 1 Page 2

FUNDS	FORMER AWARD	THIS ACTION	
EPA Amount This Action	\$ 411,080	\$	S A11 090
EPA In-Kind Amount	\$0		000,1100
Lineynended Brier Year Delegan	+ 5	÷	\$ 0
Chexperided Flight Bar Balance	\$0	5	\$0
Other Federal Funds	\$0	\$	50
Recipient Contribution	\$ 1,277,750	s	\$ 1 277 750
State Contribution	50	s	۳۰,,۳۵۵ ۵۵
Local Contribution	\$0	······	<b>7</b> 0
Other Contribution	<u>so</u>		50
Allowable Brolest Cast		<b>.</b>	\$ 0 ;
Anomania Fiojact Cost	5 1.688,830	\$0	\$ 1,688,830

Assistance Program (CFDA)	Statutory Authority	Electroletere Authority	
66.040 - State Clean Diesel Grant Program (B)	Diesel Emissions Reduction Act of 2010 codified at 42 U.S.C. 16131 et seq Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2018 (P.L. 115-141)	2 CFR 200 2 CFR 1500 and 40 CFR 33	

				Fiscal						•
Site Name	Req No	FY	Approp. Code	Budget Organization	PRC	Object Class	Site/Project	Cost Organization	Obligation / Deobligation	
										I
	ĺ.									ļ
		6						i		
					[				ĺ	
		Ì					ĺ			
·					ļ					

# DS - 96360201 - 1 Page 3

Budget Summary Page	DS - 9636		
Table A - Object Class Category (Non-construction)	Total Approved Allowable Budget Period Cost		
1. Personnel	\$0		
2. Fringe Benefits	\$0		
3. Travel			
4. Equipment			
5. Supplies	00		
6. Contractual	\$1,000		
7. Construction			
8. Other			
9. Total Direct Charges	\$1,687,830		
10. Indirect Costs: % Base	\$1,688,830		
11. Total (Share: Recipient 75.66 % Federal 24.34 %)	\$0]		
12. Total Approved Assistance Amount	\$1,588,830		
13. Program Income			
14. Total EPA Amount Awarded This Action			
15 Total FPA Amount Awarded To Date	\$0		
Ter Toma and Announce Andrew 10 Date	\$411,080		

.

\_\_\_\_

#### ATTACHMENT B DC - VWTPRR

# Eligible Mitigation Action Management Plan Including Detailed Budget and Implementation and Expenditures

Pursuant to Section 5.2.4 of the Environmental Mitigation Trust Agreement, the District of Columbia Department of Energy and Environment (DOEE) provides the following Eligible Mitigation Action Management Plan.

The milestone dates and yearly budget distributions provided are estimates and are subject to change. DOEE currently plans to electrify several privately owned diesel-powered Class 5+ vehicles in FY23 and FY24. DOEE's fiscal year begins on October 1 of the previous calendar year and ends on September 30 of the year with which it is numbered (for example, FY 2023 began on October 1, 2022, and will end on September 30, 2023).

Note that this project is included in the District's financial reporting as VWTPRR, which corresponds to an older allocation.

#### **Project Implementation Schedule and Milestones**

Project: Private Fleet Diesel Electrification and Low-NOx (PF-DEAL) Project ID: DC – VWTPRR

Milestone	Date
DOEE Planning and Development Begins	December 2021
DOEE Provides Notice of Availability – RFA	March 17, 2023
Applicants Submit Proposals to DOEE	April 19, 2023
DOEE Submits D-4 to Trustee (with FY22	May 8, 2023
request)	
Trustee Acknowledges Receipt of D-4	May 2023
Trustee Approves D-4	June 2023
DOEE Issues Grant Award Notice to and a	July 2023
purchase order (PO) to Applicant(s)	
Work Begins on Grant	August 2023
Grantee Submits Invoices for Expenses	August 2023 until close
Incurred	
Vehicles Delivered to Grantees	December 2023
Parties Approve Work; Submit Final Report	January 2024
DOEE Provides Second Notice of Availability	March 2024
– RFA, if funds available	

#### Period of Performance: October 2021 – September 2024 (FY 2022 – FY 2025)

# TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET – FY 2023–FY 2026

Category	Total	Share of Budget Funded by VW Trust	Cost-Share Subrecipient	DERA Grant
Equipment	\$3,036,347	\$546,543	\$1,669,991	\$819,814
Percent of Equipment Expenditure	100%	18%	55%	27%
Grantee Administrative	\$54,600	\$54,600	-	-
DOEE Administrative	\$9,003	\$9,003	-	-
Total	\$3,099,949	\$610,145	\$1,669,991	\$819,814

Private Fleet Diesel Electrification and Low-NOx (PF-DEAL)

**PROJECT BUDGET – FY 2023** (Administrative) – Private Fleet Diesel Electrification and Low-NOx (PF-DEAL): **Draw #1 (DC - VWTPRR)** 

Category	Total	Share of Budget Funded by VW Trust	Cost-Share Subrecipient	DERA Grant
Equipment	-	-	-	-
Percent of Equipment Expenditure	-	-	-	-
Grantee Administrative	-	-	-	-
DOEE Administrative	\$9,003	\$9,003	-	-
Total	\$9,003	\$9,003	\$0	\$0

**PROJECT BUDGET – FY 2024** (Two Vehicles and Administrative) – Private Fleet Diesel Electrification and Low-NOx (PF-DEAL)

Category	Total	Share of Budget Funded by VW Trust	Cost-Share Subrecipient	DERA Grant
Equipment	\$1,566,125	\$281,903	\$861,369	\$422,854
Percent of Equipment Expenditure	100%	18%	55%	27%
Grantee Administrative	\$54,600	\$54,600	-	-
DOEE Administrative	-	-	-	-
Total	\$1,620,725	\$336,502	\$861,369	\$422,854

**PROJECT BUDGET – FY 2025** (Additional Vehicles) - Private Fleet Diesel Electrification and Low-NOx (PF-DEAL)

Category	Total	Share of Budget Funded by VW Trust	are of Budget Ided by VW St Subrecipient	
Equipment	\$735,111	\$132,320	\$404,311	\$198,480
Grantee Administrative	-	-	-	-
DOEE Administrative	-	-	-	-
Percent of Equipment Expenditure	100%	18%	55%	27%
Total	\$735,111	\$132,320	\$404,311	\$198,480

**PROJECT BUDGET – FY 2026** (Additional Vehicles) - Private Fleet Diesel Electrification and Low-NOx (PF-DEAL)

Category	Total	Share of Budget Funded by VW Trust	Cost-Share Subrecipient	DERA Grant
Equipment	\$735,111	\$132,320	\$404,311	\$198,480
Grantee Administrative	-	-	-	-
DOEE Administrative	-	-	-	-
Percent of Equipment Expenditure	100%	18%	55%	27%
Total	\$735,111	\$132,320	\$404,311	\$198,480

# **Total Project Budget and Projected Trust Allocations**

Fiscal Year (Begins October 1)	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025	FY2026	FY2027	FY2028	FY2029	Totals
		Р	FDEAL					
Total Equipment	\$0	\$1,566,125	\$735,111	\$735,111	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,036,347
Total Administrative	\$9,003	\$54,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$63,603
DOEE Admin	\$9,003	\$	) ş	) \$C	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,003
Subawardee Admin	\$0	\$54,60	) \$	) \$C	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54,600
DERA Total Cost-Share	\$0	\$312,000	\$342,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$654,000
DERA Equip Cost-share	\$0	\$422,85	\$198,48	\$198,480	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$819,814
DERA Admin cost-share	\$0	) \$	) \$	D \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
VW Total Cost-share	\$9,003	\$336,503	\$132,320	\$132,320	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$610,146
VW Equip Cost-Share	\$0	\$281,90	\$132,32	\$132,320	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$546,543
VW Admin Cost-Share	\$9,003	\$54,60	p \$	D \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$63,603
Subawardee Equip Cost-Share	\$0	\$861,369	\$404,311	\$404,311	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,669,991
1. Anticipated Annual PFDEAL Project Funding to be paid through the Trust	\$9,003	\$336,503	\$132,320	\$132,320	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$610,146
2. Anticipated Annual Amtrak Cost Share (DERA and subrecipient)	\$0	\$1,173,369	\$746,311	\$404,311	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,323,991
3. Anticipated Total PFDEAL Project Cost by Year	\$9,003	\$1,620,725	\$735,111	\$735,111	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,099,950
4. Cumulative Trustee Payments Made To Date Against PFDEAL Project	\$0	\$9,003	\$345,506	\$477,826	\$610,146	\$610,146	\$610,146	\$610,146
5. Eligible Annual PFDEAL Project funding to be paid through the Trust	\$9,003	\$336,503	\$132,320	\$132,320	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$610,146
6. Total Funding Allocated to Beneficiary, inclusive of current action and additional actions, including other projects, by Year	\$0	\$35,797	\$5,445,003	\$209,820	\$194,320	\$62,000	\$62,000	\$6,008,940
7. Beneficiary Share of Estimated Funds Remaining in Trust (subtracting PFDEAL Project only)	\$8,735,021	\$8,726,018	\$8,389,515	\$8,257,195	\$8,124,875	\$8,124,875	\$8,124,875	\$8,124,875
8. Net Beneficiary Funds Remaining in Trust, net of cumulative Beneficiary Funding Actions (PFEAL DEAL and Amtrak)	\$8,735,021	\$8,672,164	\$2,954,161	\$2,501,341	\$1,729,021	\$1,089,021	\$449,021	\$449,021
9. Administrative percent for Amtrak project (out of Total Amtrak VW funds)	1.5%	10.4%	10.4%	10.4%	10.4%	10.4%	10.4%	10.4%
10. Administrative percent for all projects	0.6%	2.8%	4.1%	5.1%	6.1%	7.2%	7.2%	7.2%

Row 9 is subject to a total 15% cap; row 10 is subject to a 9% cap based on the BMP. These figures are estimates and subject to change.

# ATTACHMENT C

#### **Detailed Plan for Reporting on Eligible Mitigation Action Implementation (S.2.11)**

#### Private Fleet Diesel Electrification and Low-NOx (PF-DEAL) Project ID: DC – VWTPRR

Pursuant to Sections 5.2.11 and 5.3 of the Environmental Mitigation Trust Agreement, DOEE provides this project implementation reporting plan for the Private Fleet Diesel Electrification and Low-NOx (PF-DEAL) project undertaken by DOEE's sub-grantee to private organizations to electrify eligible diesel vehicles. This detailed plan for reporting incorporates applicable DOEE sub-grantee reporting requirements as well as DOEE's obligation to comply with the semi-annual reporting requirement contained in Section 5.3 of the Environmental Mitigation Trust Agreement. Sub-grantee will provide the reports as specified below to DOEE. Further, DOEE will provide reports to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as required for the DERA grant, specified below. The reporting deadlines contained herein are estimates and may be subject to change.

Report	Due
DERA Quarterly Report from DOEE to EPA	10/31/2021
DERA Quarterly Report from DOEE to EPA	1/31/2022
Semi-Annual Project Report from DOEE to Trustee	1/31/2022
DERA Quarterly Report from DOEE to EPA	4/30/2022
DERA Quarterly Report from DOEE to EPA	7/31/2022
Semi-Annual Project Report from DOEE to Trustee	7/31/2022
DERA Quarterly Report from DOEE to EPA	10/31/2022
DERA Quarterly Report from DOEE to EPA	1/31/2023
Semi-Annual Project Report from DOEE to Trustee	1/31/2023
DERA Quarterly Report from DOEE to EPA	4/30/2023
DERA Quarterly Report from DOEE to EPA	7/31/2023
DERA Quarterly Report from DOEE to EPA	10/31/2023

Reporting will continue in the pattern set above on a semi-annual schedule to the Trustee from DOEE and a quarterly schedule from sub-grantee to DOEE (includes final reports) for subsequent Switcher repowers until all repower work has been completed. Quarterly reporting to EPA will end in FY21, the anticipated end date of the DERA grant. Extension of grants or additional grants may require additional reporting.

## **Report Content**

Sub-grantee and DOEE reports will contain at a minimum:

- 1. Summary of all costs expended through the reporting period and to-date
- 2. Project status and development and implementation accomplishments
- 3. Any project modifications, including timeline, compared to proposal and work plan

## Attachment D: Project ID: DC – VWTPRR

Detailed cost estimates from selected or potential vendors for each proposed expenditure exceeding \$25,000 (5.2.6)

Description	Replacement Equipment Estimate
Vehicle 1:	\$1,257,375.00
Vehicle 2:	\$308,750.00
Total	\$1,557,375

Cost estimates are based on the first two vehicles submitted.

## **Attachment E: DERA Option**

Pursuant to Appendix D-2 and Section 5.2.12 of the Environmental Mitigation Trust Agreement, the project (Project ID: DC - VWTPRR) associated with this Funding Request involves use of the DERA Option. DOEE will make its DERA grant award available on its webpage. DOEE's proposal and award is also attached.